

1. (a) False: there are many. (g) False: similarity doesn't preserve eigenvectors.
  - (b) False: the cross-terms are missing. (h) False: the 1-eigenspace is *at most* 4-dimensional.
  - (c) True: this is Cauchy-Schwarz. (i) False: take a Jordan block of size at least 2.
  - (d) False: middle vector does not have length 1. (j) False: take a Jordan matrix with all eigenvalues 1.
  - (e) False:  $(S + iT)^* = S^* - iT^*$ . (k) False: the Jordan blocks could be rearranged.
  - (f) False: a  $2 \times 2$  Jordan block and  $\lambda I_2$  are not similar. (l) True: the Jordan form is invariant under similarity.
- 

2. Each part is worth 4 points.

- (a) No: although [I1] and [I2] are satisfied, [I3] fails since  $\langle f, f \rangle = f'(0)f(1)$  can be negative.
  - (b) Yes: [I1] and [I2] are direct algebra checks, and for [I3],  $\langle (a, b), (a, b) \rangle = 4a^2 + 2ab + 4b^2 = (2a + b/2)^2 + (17/4)b^2 \geq 0$  and equality holds only for  $a = b = 0$ .
  - (c) Yes: [I1] and [I2] are direct algebra checks, and for [I3],  $\langle p, p \rangle = \int_0^1 |p(x)|^2 dx \geq 0$  since the integrand is nonnegative, and the integral equals zero only when  $|p(x)| = 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , which is to say  $p(x)$  is zero.
- 

3. Suppose  $\mathbf{w} \in W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp$ : then  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1^\perp + \mathbf{w}_2^\perp$  for  $\mathbf{w}_1^\perp \in W_1^\perp$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2^\perp \in W_2^\perp$ . Now for any  $\mathbf{v} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ , we have  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle = 0$  since  $\mathbf{v} \in W_1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1^\perp \in W_1^\perp$ ; also  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle = 0$  since  $\mathbf{v} \in W_2$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2^\perp$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle = 0$  hence  $\mathbf{w} \in (W_1 \cap W_2)^\perp$ .

---

4. Each part is worth 5 points.

- (a) Suppose  $T^*T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . Then  $\|T(\mathbf{v})\|^2 = \langle T(\mathbf{v}), T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ . Therefore we see  $\bar{\lambda} = \|T(\mathbf{v})\|^2 / \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq 0$  and thus  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative real number.
  - (b) Applying (a) to  $T(\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{v}$  yields that all eigenvalues of  $B^*B$  are positive. The eigenvalues of  $I + B^*B$  are 1 plus the eigenvalues of  $B^*B$  hence they are all positive. Then  $\det(I + B^*B)$  is the product of the eigenvalues (with multiplicity) so it is also positive.
- 

5. Part (d) is worth 4 points, and the other parts are worth 3 points.

- (a) If  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  then  $\mathbf{v} = T^2(\mathbf{v}) = T(\lambda\mathbf{v}) = \lambda^2\mathbf{v}$  so  $\lambda^2 = 1$  so  $\lambda = \pm 1$ .
  - (b) If  $(T - I)^2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $(T^2 - 2T + I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  but  $T^2 = I$  so this yields  $(-2T + 2)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  hence  $(T - I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .
  - (c) Like (b), expanding  $(T + I)^2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  yields  $(2T + 2)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  so  $(T + I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .
  - (d) The only eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$  so a generalized eigenvector satisfies  $(T - I)^d\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  or  $(T + I)^d\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . In the first case taking  $\mathbf{w} = (T - I)^{d-2}\mathbf{v}$  gives  $(T - I)^2\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$  so (b) gives  $(T - I)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$  so  $(T - I)^{d-1}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . By induction this reduces to  $(T - I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ ; similarly  $(T + I)^d\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  reduces to  $(T + I)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ : thus all generalized eigenvectors are eigenvectors.
  - (e) Since the eigenvalues are  $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V$  has a basis of generalized eigenvectors, hence by (d)  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors. Thus  $T$  is diagonalizable, and its diagonalization has entries  $\pm 1$ .
- 

6. If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  then  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$  is in the  $\lambda_1$ -eigenspace. Conversely, since  $T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2$  if  $T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \mu(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)$  then  $(\lambda_1 - \mu)\mathbf{v}_1 + (\lambda_2 - \mu)\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $\mu = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  then this relation holds trivially; otherwise at least one coefficient is nonzero so  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$  is linearly dependent, and this can only occur when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , so either way  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

---

7. Part (a) is worth 6 points and part (b) is worth 4 points.

- (a) Rank is preserved by similarity, so the Jordan form must also have rank 1. There are two possibilities: either  $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (for any  $\lambda \neq 0$ ) or  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - (b) The characteristic polynomials are  $x^2(x - \lambda)$  and  $x^3$  respectively.
-

1. (a) False: Cauchy-Schwarz is always true. (g) True:  $\ker(A) = 0$  iff the 0-eigenspace is 0.
  - (b) False: should be  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ . (h) False: the determinant is  $1^4 2^2 = 4$ .
  - (c) True: use Gram-Schmidt to construct. (i) False: the identity matrix is diagonalizable.
  - (d) False: HW7 has an example of  $T$  with no  $T^*$ . (j) True: Cayley-Hamilton applies to all matrices.
  - (e) True:  $D^2(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}$  as one can check. (k) True: change bases from  $A \rightarrow J \rightarrow B$ .
  - (f) True: similar matrices have the same char. poly. (l) True: this follows from the spectral theorem.
- 

2. Each part is worth 6 points.

- (a)  $\|\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2$ .
  - (b)  $2 = \|\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = 2 + 2\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle$  so  $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = 0$ .
- 

3. Observe that the pairing  $\langle (a, b), (c, d) \rangle = ac + ad + bc + 3bd$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : [I1] and [I2] are direct calculations and for [I3] we have  $\langle (a, b), (a, b) \rangle = a^2 + 2ab + 3b^2 = (a + b)^2 + 2b^2 \geq 0$  with equality only for  $a = b = 0$ . The desired inequality is just the triangle inequality for  $\mathbf{v} = (a, b)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (c, d)$ :  $\|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|$ .

---

4. Each part is worth 4 points.

- (a) First we have  $(T + T^*)^* = T^* + T^{**} = T + T^*$ . Second we have  $[iT - iT^*]^* = -iT^* + iT^{**} = iT - iT^*$ . Third we have  $(T^*T)^* = T^*T^{**} = T^*T$ . Finally we have  $(TT^*)^* = T^{**}T^* = TT^*$ .
  - (b) If  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  then  $T^*T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  so  $\ker(T) \subseteq \ker(T^*T)$ . Conversely if  $T^*T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  then  $0 = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle T(\mathbf{v}), T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle$  so  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ .
  - (c) We have  $\langle T(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle} = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, T^*(\mathbf{v}) \rangle} = \overline{\langle T(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \rangle}$  so  $\langle T(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \rangle$  is real.
- 

5. Each part is worth 4 points.

- (a) Set  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v})$ : then  $\langle T(\mathbf{v}), T(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = 0$  hence  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ .
  - (b) As proven in class, since  $V$  is finite-dimensional,  $\ker(T)$  and  $\text{im}(T^*)$  are orthogonal complements. If  $T$  is one-to-one then  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$  so  $\text{im}(T^*) = \{0\}^\perp = V$  hence  $T^*$  is onto.
  - (c) As proven in class,  $\ker(T^*)$  and  $\text{im}(T)$  are orthogonal complements. If  $T$  is onto then  $\text{im}(T) = V$  so  $[\text{im}(T)]^\perp = \{0\}$  hence  $\ker(T^*) = \{0\}$  so  $T^*$  is one-to-one.
- 

6. Part (a) is worth 5 points and part (b) is worth 7 points.

- (a) Clearly any diagonal matrix  $D$  has a square root  $E$  (just take square roots of each of its diagonal entries). Then if  $A$  is diagonalizable with  $Q^{-1}AQ = D$ , for  $B = QEQ^{-1}$  we have  $B^2 = QE^2Q^{-1} = QDQ^{-1} = A$ .
  - (b) Suppose  $B^2 = A$ . Then since  $A^2 = 0$  we see  $B^4 = 0$ . If  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  then  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}\mathbf{v} = B^4\mathbf{v} = \lambda^4\mathbf{v}$  hence  $\lambda = 0$ . Thus, the only eigenvalues of  $B$  are zero, so the characteristic polynomial of  $B$  is  $p(t) = t^2$ . By Cayley-Hamilton this implies  $B^2 = 0$ , but  $B^2 = A$  which would give  $A = 0$ , contradiction.
- 

7. With  $\beta = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$  we see  $[D]_\beta^\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  which is upper-triangular, so the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 0, 0, 0, 0$

and the 0-eigenspace is 1-dimensional, so  $D$  is not diagonalizable. Its Jordan form must have one block with eigenvalue

0, so the Jordan form is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

---