

1. Identify each of the following statements as true or false:

(a) The span of the empty set is the empty set.

- **False**: the span of the empty set is the zero subspace containing only $\mathbf{0}$, which is different from the empty set.

(b) The span of the zero vector is the zero subspace.

- **True**: the only vector spanned by the zero vector is the zero vector itself.

(c) If S is any subset of V , then $\text{span}(S)$ is the intersection of all subspaces of V containing S .

- **True**: this is one of the basic facts about spans.

(d) If S is any subset of V , then $\text{span}(S)$ always contains the zero vector.

- **True**: the span is always a subspace, so it contains the zero vector (even if S is empty).

(e) Any set containing the zero vector is linearly independent.

- **False**: in fact the opposite is true, any set containing the zero vector is linearly dependent!

(f) Any subset of a linearly independent set is linearly independent.

- **True**: any linear dependence in the subset would give one in the original set.

(g) Any subset of a linearly dependent set is linearly dependent.

- **False**: removing elements from a linearly dependent set could certainly yield a linearly independent set (e.g., the empty set!).

(h) The zero vector space has no basis.

- **False**: the empty set is a basis for the zero space.

(i) The set $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a basis for the zero vector space.

- **False**: the empty set is a basis for the zero space.

(j) Every vector space has a finite basis.

- **False**: there are infinite-dimensional vector spaces, like $F[x]$, which have no finite basis.

(k) Every vector space has a unique basis.

- **False**: most vector spaces (e.g., F^2) have many different bases.

(l) Every subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space is finite-dimensional.

- **True**: if W is a subspace of V then $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$, so $\dim(W)$ is also finite.

(m) Every subspace of an infinite-dimensional vector space is infinite-dimensional.

- **False**: infinite-dimensional spaces have many finite-dimensional subspaces (e.g., the zero subspace).

(n) If $V = M_{m \times n}(F)$, then $\dim_F V = mn$.

- **True**: a basis is given by the mn matrices with a 1 in one entry and 0s elsewhere.

(o) If $V = F[x]$, then $\dim_F V$ is undefined.

- **False**: $\dim_F F[x]$ is infinite but perfectly well-defined.

(p) If $V = P_n(F)$, then $\dim_F V = n$.

- **False**: $\dim_F V = n + 1$, not n .
-

2. For each set of vectors in each vector space, determine whether (i) they span V , (ii) they are linearly independent, and (iii) they are a basis:

(a) $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- Since \mathbb{R}^2 is 2-dimensional, this one vector **does not span**, and **is linearly independent** but **not a basis**.

(b) $\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- Some quick calculations will show that these vectors **do span**, but are **not linearly independent** hence are **not a basis**.

(c) $\langle 1, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

- Since we have 3 vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 we can use the determinant shortcut: the matrix whose columns are the three given vectors has nonzero determinant, so the three vectors **do span** and **are linearly independent** hence **are a basis**.

(d) $1 + x, x + x^2$ in $P_2(\mathbb{C})$.

- These 2 polynomials **do not span** because $P_2(\mathbb{C})$ has dimension 3. They **are linearly independent**, however, because neither is a scalar multiple of the other, but are **not a basis**.

(e) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}_5)$. [Note $\mathbb{F}_5 = \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$; the entries of the matrices are considered modulo 5.]

- These 2 matrices **do not span** because $M_{2 \times 2}$ has dimension 4. They **are linearly independent**, however, because neither is a scalar multiple of the other. They are **not a basis**.

(f) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

- These 3 matrices **do not span** because $M_{2 \times 2}$ has dimension 4. They **are linearly independent**, because $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ yields $a + c = a + b = b + c = 0$ which has only the solution $a = b = c = 0$. They are **not a basis**.

(g) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

- These 3 matrices **do not span** because $M_{2 \times 2}$ has dimension 4. They are **not linearly independent** because their sum is the zero matrix. They are **not a basis**.

3. Suppose V is a vector space and let $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ and $T = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3\}$.

(a) If S is linearly independent, show that T is linearly independent.

- Suppose we had a dependence $a(\mathbf{v}_1) + b(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + c(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3) = \mathbf{0}$.
- Distributing yields $(a + b + c)\mathbf{v}_1 + (b + c)\mathbf{v}_2 + c\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$, and so linear independence of S requires $a + b + c = b + c = c = 0$, which has only the solution $a = b = c = 0$. Hence T is linearly independent.

(b) If S spans V , show that T spans V .

- If S spans V then for any $\mathbf{w} \in V$ we can write $\mathbf{w} = a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2 + c\mathbf{v}_3$.
- Then it is not hard to see that $\mathbf{w} = (a - b)\mathbf{v}_1 + (b - c)(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + c(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3)$, so T also spans V .

(c) If S is a basis of V , show that T is a basis of V .

- Immediate from (a) and (b): if S is a basis then S is linearly independent and spans V , hence by (a) and (b) respectively T is linearly independent and spans V , so it is a basis.

4. Suppose that f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n are real-valued functions of x , all of which are n times differentiable. The Wronskian

$W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is defined to be the determinant $W(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ f'_0 & f'_1 & \cdots & f'_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0^{(n)} & f_1^{(n)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}$. For exam-

ple, $W(x^2, x^3) = \begin{vmatrix} x^2 & x^3 \\ 2x & 3x^2 \end{vmatrix} = x^4$ and $W(x^2, 2x^2) = \begin{vmatrix} x^2 & 2x^2 \\ 2x & 4x \end{vmatrix} = 0$.

(a) Show that if f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n are linearly dependent, then their Wronskian is zero.

- Suppose that $a_0 f_0 + a_1 f_1 + \cdots + a_n f_n = 0$. Then by taking derivatives we also have $a_0 f'_0 + a_1 f'_1 + \cdots + a_n f'_n = 0$ and similarly for the higher derivatives.

- This means $\begin{bmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ f'_0 & f'_1 & \cdots & f'_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_0^{(n)} & f_1^{(n)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$. But as we have shown, if there is a nonzero

vector \mathbf{v} with $M\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\det(M) = 0$: hence the Wronskian is zero as claimed.

(b) Deduce that if functions f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n have a nonzero Wronskian, then they are linearly independent. Is the converse true? [Hint: Try $f_0 = x^2$ and $f_1 = x|x|$.]

- The first part is just the contrapositive of part (a).
- For the second part, observe that for $f_0 = x^2$ and $f_1 = x|x|$ we have $f'_0 = 2x$ and $f'_1 = 2|x|$ (this is straightforward to check with a graph or the definition of the derivative). Then $W(f_0, f_1) = \begin{vmatrix} x^2 & x|x| \\ 2x & 2|x| \end{vmatrix} = x^2 \cdot 2|x| - 2x \cdot x|x| = 0$.
- However, f_0 and f_1 are linearly independent: if $ax^2 + bx|x| = 0$ then setting $x = 1$ yields $a + b = 0$ and setting $x = -1$ yields $a - b = 0$, so that $a = b = 0$.

(c) Show that $\{1, \sin x, \cos x\}$ is a linearly independent set.

- We simply compute the Wronskian: it is $W(1, \sin x, \cos x) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sin x & \cos x \\ 0 & \cos x & -\sin x \\ 0 & -\sin x & -\cos x \end{vmatrix} = -\cos^2 x - \sin^2 x = -1$. Since this is nonzero, by (b) we conclude that the functions are linearly independent.

5. Let V be a vector space such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$. Prove that if V is now considered a vector space over \mathbb{R} (using the same addition and scalar multiplication), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$.

- Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$. Choose a basis $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ for V , as a complex vector space. We claim that the set $S' = \{\mathbf{v}_1, i\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, i\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, i\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for V considered as a real vector space, which would immediately imply that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$.
- S' spans V : Let \mathbf{x} be a vector in V . By the assumption that S spans V , there exist (complex) scalars with $\mathbf{x} = (a_1 + b_1 i)\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (a_n + b_n i)\mathbf{v}_n$. But by rearranging, we see that $\mathbf{x} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1(i\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n + b_n(i\mathbf{v}_n)$, meaning that \mathbf{x} is a linear combination of the vectors in S' using real coefficients, as required.
- S' is linearly independent: Suppose there exist real numbers a_i, b_i such that $\mathbf{0} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1(i\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n + b_n(i\mathbf{v}_n)$. By rearranging this yields $\mathbf{0} = (a_1 + b_1 i)\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (a_n + b_n i)\mathbf{v}_n$. But by the hypothesis that S is linearly independent, all of these (complex) coefficients must be zero.

6. Let W be a vector space. Recall that if A and B are two subspaces of W then their sum is the set $A + B = \{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a} \in A \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \in B\}$.

(a) Suppose that $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. If α is a basis for A and β is a basis for B , prove that α and β are disjoint and that $\alpha \cup \beta$ is a basis for $A + B$.

- First, we show that $\alpha \cup \beta$ spans $A + B$: for any $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in A + B$, since $\mathbf{a} \in A$ we can write $\mathbf{a} = a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k$ for some $\mathbf{a}_i \in \alpha$ and likewise since $\mathbf{b} \in B$ we can write $\mathbf{b} = b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l$ for some $\mathbf{b}_i \in \beta$.
- Then $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k + b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l$ is in $\text{span}(\alpha \cup \beta)$, as required.
- Now suppose we had a dependence $a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k + b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l = \mathbf{0}$.
- Then rearranging gives $a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k = -(b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l)$. Since the left-hand side is a vector in A and the right-hand side is a vector in B , the common expression is an element in $A \cap B$.
- But then since $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we have $a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{0} = -(b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l)$.
- Then since α and β are both linearly independent, we have $a_1 = \cdots = a_k = 0$ and $b_1 = \cdots = b_l = 0$. Hence $\alpha \cup \beta$ is linearly independent, as claimed.

(b) Now suppose that α is a basis for A and β is a basis for B . If $\alpha \cup \beta$ is a basis for $A + B$ and α and β are disjoint, prove that $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- Suppose that $\mathbf{v} \in A \cap B$. Then since $\mathbf{v} \in A$ we may write $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k$ for some $\mathbf{a}_i \in \alpha$, and since $\mathbf{v} \in B$ we may also write $\mathbf{v} = b_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + b_l\mathbf{b}_l$ for some $\mathbf{b}_i \in \beta$.
- Then subtracting the expressions yields $a_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{a}_k - b_1\mathbf{b}_1 - \cdots - b_l\mathbf{b}_l = \mathbf{0}$.
- But since $\alpha \cup \beta$ is a basis and α, β are linearly independent, all of the scalar coefficients must be zero. Then $\mathbf{v} = 0\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + 0\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{0}$, and so $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

The situation in (a)-(b) is very important and arises often. Explicitly, if A and B are two subspaces of W such that $A + B = W$ and $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the trivial subspace, we write $W = A \oplus B$ and call W the (internal) direct sum of A and B . (The idea is that we may “decompose” W into two independent pieces A and B .)

(c) Show that \mathbb{R}^2 is the direct sum of the subspaces given by the x -axis and the y -axis, and is also the direct sum of the subspaces given by the x -axis and the line $y = 3x$.

- First for $X = \{\langle x, 0 \rangle\}$ and $Y = \{\langle 0, y \rangle\}$, since $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, 0 \rangle + \langle 0, y \rangle$, we have $\mathbb{R}^2 = X + Y$. Furthermore, $X \cap Y = \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\}$ so the sum is a direct sum.
- Second with $Z = \{\langle y/3, y \rangle\}$, since $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x - y/3, 0 \rangle + \langle y/3, y \rangle$, we have $\mathbb{R}^2 = X + Z$. Furthermore, $X \cap Z = \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle\}$ so the sum is a direct sum.

(d) Prove that $W = A \oplus B$ if and only if every vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$ can be written uniquely in the form $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{a} \in A$ and $\mathbf{b} \in B$.

- First suppose that every vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$ can be written uniquely in the form $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{a} \in A$ and $\mathbf{b} \in B$. Then clearly $W = A + B$.
- Furthermore, for any $\mathbf{w} \in A \cap B$, we may write $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{w}$. Hence by uniqueness, we must have $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, and therefore $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence $W = A \oplus B$ as required.
- Conversely, suppose $W = A \oplus B$. Then every vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$ can be written as $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$, so we need only consider uniqueness: if $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{b}'$, then subtracting yields $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}$.
- Since the vector $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}$ is in both A and B , it must be the zero vector: hence $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}'$, so the representation of \mathbf{w} is unique as required.

(e) Show that if $W = A \oplus B$ then $\dim(W) = \dim(A) + \dim(B)$. Show using an explicit counterexample that the converse statement need not hold.

- The first part is simply a rewriting of part (b). For the second part, if for example we take $W = \mathbb{R}^2$ with $A = B = \text{span}(\langle 1, 0 \rangle)$, then the dimensions sum correctly, but $A + B = A = \text{span}(\langle 1, 0 \rangle) \neq W$.

7. Let F be a finite field with q elements. The goal of this problem is to count the invertible matrices in $M_{n \times n}(F)$.
- (a) Suppose W is a k -dimensional subspace of F^n . Show that W contains exactly q^k vectors.
- Choose a basis $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k$ for W . Then every vector in W may be written as a linear combination $a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{w}_k$ for unique scalars a_1, \dots, a_k .
 - Since there are q choices for each scalar a_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, there are q^k total choices for the coefficients and thus q^k possible vectors.
- (b) Show that the number of invertible $n \times n$ matrices in $M_{n \times n}(F)$ is equal to the number of ordered lists $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of n linearly independent vectors from F^n .
- From our discussion of bases of F^n , we know that a list $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of n vectors in F^n is linearly independent if and only if it is a basis.
 - Also from our discussion, we know that the matrix whose columns are the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is invertible if and only if the columns are linearly independent.
 - Thus, by combining these two facts, we see that the number of invertible $n \times n$ matrices in $M_{n \times n}(F)$ is equal to the number of ordered lists $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of n linearly independent vectors from F^n .
- (c) For any integer $0 \leq k \leq n$, show that there are exactly $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{k-1})$ ordered lists $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ of k linearly independent vectors from F^n . [Hint: Count the number of ways to choose the vector \mathbf{v}_i not in $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1})$ for each i .]
- Since subsets of linearly independent sets are linearly independent, we see that each of $\{\mathbf{v}_1\}, \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent.
 - So we simply need to count the number of selections of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ (in order) where each of the sets $\{\mathbf{v}_1\}, \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent.
 - If we have chosen $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$, then \mathbf{v}_i may be any vector not in $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1})$. By part (a), there are q^{i-1} vectors in this span, so there are $q^n - q^{i-1}$ possible choices for \mathbf{v}_i .
 - Multiplying, we obtain the total number of lists as $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{k-1})$, as required.
- (d) Deduce that the number of invertible $n \times n$ matrices in $M_{n \times n}(F)$ is equal to $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{k-1}) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$. In particular, find the number of invertible 5×5 matrices over the field \mathbb{F}_2 .
- The first result follows immediately from setting $k = n$ in part (c).
 - For the second part, taking $n = 5$ and $q = 2$ yields the total $31 \cdot 30 \cdot 28 \cdot 24 \cdot 16 = 9999360$.

8. [Challenge] Zorn's lemma states that if \mathcal{F} is a nonempty partially-ordered set in which every chain (a subset with $A \leq B$ or $B \leq A$ for all A, B in the subset) has an upper bound (an element $U \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $X \leq U$ for all X in the chain), then \mathcal{F} contains a maximal element (an element $M \in \mathcal{F}$ such that if $M \leq Y$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{F}$, then in fact $Y = M$). The goal of this problem is to use Zorn's lemma to prove that any linearly independent set can be extended to a basis and that any spanning set contains a basis.
- (a) Suppose that S is a maximal linearly-independent subset of a vector space V (this means that if T is any linearly-independent subset of V containing S , then in fact $T = S$). Prove that S is a basis of V .
- Suppose S does not span V : then there exists a vector $\mathbf{w} \in V$ such that $\mathbf{w} \notin \text{span}(S)$.
 - Then by our results on linear independence and span, the set $S \cup \{\mathbf{w}\}$ would also be linearly independent. But this contradicts the assumption that S is maximal, since $T = S \cup \{\mathbf{w}\}$ would be a linearly independent set containing S with $T \neq S$.
 - This is impossible, so there cannot exist any vector $\mathbf{w} \in V$ with $\mathbf{w} \notin \text{span}(S)$. Hence S spans V , so since it is linearly independent, it is a basis.
- (b) Suppose \mathcal{C} is a chain of linearly independent subsets of V (i.e., a collection of linearly independent subsets with the property that $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$). Show that $U = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} A$ is also linearly independent. [Hint: A linear dependence can only involve finitely many vectors.]
- Suppose that there exist vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ in U and scalars a_1, \dots, a_k such that $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$.
 - Suppose the vectors \mathbf{v}_i lie in the subsets $A_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Then since \mathcal{C} is a chain, since $A_i \subseteq A_j$ for each pair (i, j) , by a trivial induction we see that one of the A_i must contain all of the others, hence contains all of the vectors \mathbf{v}_i .

- But since this subset A_i is linearly independent, we must have $a_1 = \cdots = a_k = 0$, and so $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are linearly independent.
 - Thus, since every finite subset of U is linearly independent, U is linearly independent.
- (c) Prove that every linearly independent subset of V can be extended to a basis.
- Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of all linearly-independent subsets of V containing the given set, partially ordered by inclusion. Note that \mathcal{F} is not empty since it contains the original linearly independent set.
 - By part (b), if \mathcal{C} is any chain in \mathcal{F} contains a maximal element. Such a maximal element is a maximal linearly-independent subset of V , which by part (a) is a basis of V .
- (d) Suppose that S is a minimal spanning set of a vector space V (this means that if T is any subset of S that spans V , then in fact $T = S$). Prove that S is a basis of V .
- Suppose S is not a basis of V : then necessarily S must be linearly dependent, so there exists vector $\mathbf{w} \in S$ such that $\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}(S')$ where $S' = S \setminus \{\mathbf{w}\}$.
 - Then by our results on span, we have $\text{span}(S') = \text{span}(S) = V$, but S' is a proper subset of S , contradicting minimality. Hence S must be linearly independent hence a basis.
- (e) Let $V = \mathbb{Q}$ with scalar field $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and let $S_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ for each positive integer n . Show that each set S_n is a spanning set and that the sets S_n form a chain, but that the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is not a spanning set.
- Since V is 1-dimensional, any set containing something other than 0 automatically spans V . Since each S_n is infinite, they all span V , and clearly $S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq S_3 \supseteq \cdots$ so they form a chain.
 - However, the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ is empty, because any positive integer k is not in S_{k+1} , and so the intersection is not a spanning set.

Remark: It is natural to try to use Zorn's lemma to prove that a minimal spanning set must exist, in analogy to (b). The obvious approach for this does not work, as (e) shows: the intersection of a chain of spanning sets need not span V ! (A valid way to show every spanning set contains a basis is to apply Zorn's lemma to the linearly independent subsets of the spanning set.)
