

1. Each item was worth 3 points.

- (a) True: a test like the Fermat test, Miller-Rabin test, or the AKS primality test can prove that a large integer is composite without finding a factorization.
 - (b) False: using Pollard's ρ -algorithm or one of the sieving methods on a modern computer allows us to factor integers up to 90 digits very quickly. (Mathematica factors $2^{101} - 1$ in 1.60 milliseconds.)
 - (c) False: a polynomial of degree 4 or higher with no roots could still be the product of two irreducible quadratics. For example, in $\mathbb{R}[x]$, the polynomial $p(x) = x^4 + 5x^2 + 4$ has no roots, but it nonetheless factors as $(x^2 + 1)(x^2 + 4)$.
 - (d) False: as proven in class, there is a primitive root mod m only when $m = 1, 2, 4, p^d$, or $2p^d$ for an odd prime p , so for instance there is no primitive root modulo 8.
-

2. Item (a) was worth 2 points while the others were worth 3 points.

- (a) Since $307^2 - N = 900 = 30^2$ we see $N = 307^2 - 30^2 = (307 - 30)(307 + 30) = \boxed{277 \cdot 337}$.
 - (b) Note that $N(5 - 2\sqrt{6}) = (5 - 2\sqrt{6})(5 + 2\sqrt{6}) = 5^2 - 2^2 \cdot 6 = 1$. Thus, the inverse of $5 - 2\sqrt{6}$ is its conjugate $\boxed{5 + 2\sqrt{6}}$.
 - (c) We take the lower power of each irreducible factor for the gcd, so the gcd is $\boxed{x^3(x-2)^2}$.
 - (d) By the formula proven in class, the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree 3 modulo p is $(p^3 - p)/3$. With $p = 5$ this evaluates to $(5^3 - 5)/3 = \boxed{40}$.
 - (e) The first congruence has solution $p = 2 + (x-2)q$. Plugging into the second congruence yields $2 + (x-2)q \equiv 6 \pmod{x-4}$ which since $x - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{x-4}$ reduces to $2q \equiv 4 \pmod{x-4}$ so that $q \equiv 2 \pmod{x-4}$. Then $q = 2 + (x-4)r$, and so $p = 2 + (x-2)q = 2x - 2 + (x-2)(x-4)r$. The solution is $p \equiv \boxed{2x - 2 \pmod{x^2 - 6x + 8}}$.
-

3. Each part was worth 5 points.

- (a) We use the Euclidean algorithm. Since $\frac{3+5i}{2+4i} = \frac{(3+5i)(2-4i)}{(2+4i)(2-4i)} = \frac{26-2i}{20} = 1.3 - 0.1i$, rounding to the nearest Gaussian integer yields quotient $q = 1$ and thus remainder $r = (3+5i) - (2+4i) = 1+i$. Then $\frac{2+4i}{1+i} = \frac{(2+4i)(1-i)}{(1+i)(1-i)} = \frac{6+2i}{2} = 3+i$ so the quotient is $3+i$ with remainder 0. The gcd is the last nonzero remainder $\boxed{1+i}$.
 - (b) We use the Euclidean algorithm again. Since $\frac{3+5i}{2-i} = \frac{(3+5i)(2+i)}{(2-i)(2+i)} = \frac{1+13i}{5} = 0.2+2.6i$, rounding to the nearest Gaussian integer yields quotient $q = 3i$ and thus remainder $r = (3+5i) - 3i(2-i) = -i$. Then $\frac{2-i}{-i} = 1+2i$ with remainder 0. Solving for the last nonzero remainder yields $-i = (3+5i) - 3i(2-i)$. Scaling both sides by $(-i)^{-1} = i$ yields $1 = i(3+5i) + 3(2-i)$, and finally reducing mod $3+5i$ yields $3(2-i) \equiv 1 \pmod{3+5i}$. Hence the inverse of $2-i$ is $\boxed{3}$.
-

4. Part (a) was worth 2 points, the tables were 2 (+) and 4 (·) points, (c) was 3 points, and (d) was 3 points.

(a) Since $p(2) = 0$ factoring yields $p = \boxed{(x - 2)^2 = (x + 1)^2}$.

(b) Since $x^2 + x + 1 \equiv 0$ we have $x^2 \equiv -2x - 1 \equiv x + 2$. Using this and reducing the coefficients mod 3 we get the following:

+	\bar{x}	$\overline{x+1}$	$\overline{x+2}$
\bar{x}	$2\bar{x}$	$2\bar{x}+1$	$2\bar{x}+2$
$\overline{x+1}$	$2\bar{x}+1$	$2\bar{x}+2$	$2\bar{x}$
$\overline{x+2}$	$2\bar{x}+2$	$2\bar{x}$	$2\bar{x}+1$

·	\bar{x}	$\overline{x+1}$	$\overline{x+2}$
\bar{x}	$\overline{x+2}$	$2\bar{x}+2$	2
$\overline{x+1}$	$2\bar{x}+2$	0	$\overline{x+1}$
$\overline{x+2}$	2	$\overline{x+1}$	$2\bar{x}$

(c) The units are the residue classes that are relatively prime to $p = (x + 1)^2$ while the zero divisors are the residue classes that are not relatively prime (which are just the multiples of $x + 1$). So the units are $\boxed{1, 2, x, x + 2, 2x, 2x + 1}$ while the zero divisors are $\boxed{x + 1, 2x + 2}$.

(d) Since there are 6 units as noted in (c), we compute $\overline{x+2}^6$. From (b) we see $\overline{x+2}^2 = 2\bar{x}$ and then $\overline{x+2}^3 = \overline{x+2}^2 \cdot \overline{x+2} = 2\bar{x}(x+2) = \bar{1}$ and so $\overline{x+2}^6 = \bar{1}$ also.

5. Part (a) was worth 2 points, (b) and (c) were each worth 4 points, and (d) was worth 2 points.

(a) If $a^2 + 6b^2 = 2$ or 5 then we must have $b = 0$ since $6b^2$ is too large otherwise, but $a^2 = 2$ or 5 has no integer solution for a .

(b) If $2 + \sqrt{-6} = rs$ for some r, s , then taking norms yields $10 = N(2 + \sqrt{-6}) = N(rs) = N(r)N(s)$. Since the norms are positive, the only possibilities for $N(r)$ are $1, 2, 5, 10$. But by (a) there are no elements of norm 2 or 5 , so either $N(r) = 1$ or $N(r) = 10$ in which case $N(s) = 1$: then r or s is a unit. By definition, $2 + \sqrt{-6}$ is irreducible.

(c) Note that $(2 + \sqrt{-6})(2 - \sqrt{-6}) = 10$ so $2 + \sqrt{-6}$ divides the product $2 \cdot 5$. However, $2 + \sqrt{-6}$ does not divide 2 or 5 , since $\frac{2}{2 + \sqrt{-6}} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{-6}}{5}$ and $\frac{5}{2 + \sqrt{-6}} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{-6}}{2}$, and neither of these has integer coefficients. This means $2 + \sqrt{-6}$ is not prime.

(d) In a Euclidean domain, irreducible elements are prime. Since $2 + \sqrt{-6}$ is irreducible but not prime, R cannot be Euclidean.

6. As shown in class, $F[x]$ modulo q is a field if and only if q is irreducible. Here, since $q = x^2 + x + 4$ has degree 2, to show it is irreducible we only have to show that q has no roots modulo 7. We compute $q(0) = 4$, $q(1) = 6$, $q(2) = 10 = 3$, $q(3) = 16 = 2$, $q(4) = 24 = 3$, $q(5) = 34 = 6$, and $q(6) = 46 = 4$: since none of these is zero, q has no roots, hence is irreducible, so $\mathbb{F}_7[x]$ modulo q is a field. The residue classes are of the form $ax + b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_7$ so there are indeed exactly $7 \cdot 7 = 49$ elements.

7. Part (a) was worth 5 points, (b) was worth 2 points, and (c) was worth 3 points.

(a) First, 7 is a primitive root mod 13: we have $7^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ by Euler's theorem, but $7^4 \equiv 9$ and $7^6 \equiv 7^4 \cdot 7^2 \equiv 9 \cdot 10 \equiv -1 \pmod{13}$, so the order divides 12 but not 4 or 6 hence is 12. Also, we are given $7^{12} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{13^2}$, and as shown in class since 7 is a primitive root mod 13 this implies it is also a primitive root mod 13^2 .

(b) As proven in class, a primitive root mod p^d is also a primitive root mod all higher powers of p , so $\boxed{7}$ is a primitive root mod 13^{2026} .

(c) The number of primitive roots is $\varphi(\varphi(13^{2026})) = \varphi(12 \cdot 13^{2025}) = \boxed{48 \cdot 13^{2024}}$.