Math 4527 (Number Theory 2) Lecture #35 of $37 \sim \text{April } 15, 2021$

Binary Quadratic Forms, Part 1

- Binary Quadratic Forms
- Representations of Integers
- Equivalence of Forms

This material represents $\S9.2.3$ from the course notes.

We will now discuss representations of integers by binary quadratic forms, which are expressions of the form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ for fixed integers a, b, c.

- We have already classified the integers that are represented by the forms $x^2 + y^2$, $x^2 + 2y^2$, $x^2 + xy + y^2$, and $x^2 + 3y^2$ using unique factorization in the quadratic integer rings $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-3}}$.
- Our goal is now to broaden our focus and analyze integers represented by other quadratic forms.

Binary Quadratic Forms, II

First, some terminology:

Definition

The <u>discriminant</u> of the binary quadratic form $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$. We also classify the behavior of f based on its values:

- If f takes both positive and negative values on ℝ, f is indefinite.
- If f takes only nonnegative values, f is positive semidefinite.
- If in addition f = 0 only when (x, y) = (0,0), f is positive definite.
- Finally, f is <u>negative semidefinite</u> (respectively, <u>negative definite</u>) if -f is positive semidefinite (respectively, positive definite).

Most of these behaviors are determined by the discriminant.

- If D > 0 then f has two real roots (they are rational iff Δ is a perfect square), while if Δ = 0 then f has a repeated (rational) root, and if D < 0 then f has no real roots. Thus:
- f is indefinite precisely when $\Delta > 0$.
- f is definite precisely when $\Delta < 0$: it is positive definite for a > 0 and negative definite for a < 0.
- f is semidefinite but not definite when Δ = 0: it is positive semidefinite when a + c > 0 and negative semidefinite when a + c < 0.

Examples:

- 1. The forms $x^2 y^2$ ($\Delta = 4$), xy ($\Delta = 1$), and $x^2 5xy + y^2$ ($\Delta = 21$) are all indefinite.
- 2. The forms $x^2 + y^2$ ($\Delta = -4$), $x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2$ ($\Delta = -8$), x^2 ($\Delta = 0$), and $x^2 + 2xy + y^2$ ($\Delta = 0$) are all positive semidefinite. The first two are positive definite while the last two are not.
- 3. The forms $-x^2 + 2xy 2y^2$ ($\Delta = -4$) and $-4x^2 6xy 9y^2$ ($\Delta = 0$) are both negative semidefinite. The first is negative definite while the second is not.

We will observe that the discriminant Δ of any quadratic form is always congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, so it is always the discriminant of a quadratic integer ring up to a square factor.

Conversely, if Δ is 0 or 1 modulo 4 and is squarefree up to a factor of 4, then the norm N(x + yω) where ω is the generator of the quadratic integer ring O_{√D} (√D or 1+√D/2) gives a quadratic form of discriminant Δ. In this case, Δ is simply the discriminant of the ring O_{√D} itself.

You'll doubtless be shocked when I tell you later about a bunch of other deep connections between the quadratic integer rings and the binary quadratic forms we are discussing. Now we can discuss representations of integers by quadratic forms:

Definition

If f is a binary quadratic form and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say f <u>represents</u> n if there exist integers x and y such that f(x, y) = n, and we say f <u>properly represents</u> n if these x, y are also relatively prime.

Examples:

- 1. $f = x^2 + y^2$ represents 2, 9, and 13, but it does not properly represent 9 because there is no solution to $x^2 + y^2 = 9$ with x, y relatively prime.
- 2. $f = x^2 + xy + y^2$ represents 3, 4, and 7, but it does not properly represent 4 because there is no solution to $x^2 + xy + y^2 = 4$ with x, y relatively prime.

Ultimately, we would like to be able to classify the integers represented (or properly represented) by a given quadratic form.

- This turns out to be an incredibly difficult problem, and we will not be able to fully address the question in this class, since one really needs the full power of class field theory to make major headway¹.
- Nonetheless, we will still be able to say quite a few substantial things, particularly when we restrict our attention to representations of primes.

¹I will mention that there is an entire *book* on ostensibly the easiest case of this question, titled "Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^{2"}$ "

We will generally adopt the approach of fixing the discriminant and considering all forms of that discriminant.

Proposition (Representations by Forms of Discriminant Δ)

Suppose Δ is a nonzero integer congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4.

- 1. If n is a nonzero integer, then there exists a binary quadratic form of discriminant Δ that properly represents n if and only if D is a quadratic residue modulo 4n.
- If p is an odd prime, then there exists a binary quadratic form of discriminant Δ that represents p if and only if Δ is a quadratic residue modulo p.

1. If n is a nonzero integer, then there exists a binary quadratic form of discriminant Δ that properly represents n if and only if D is a quadratic residue modulo 4n.

Proof:

- First suppose that Δ is a quadratic residue modulo 4n, say with Δ ≡ b² (mod 4n), so that b² − Δ = 4nc for some integer c.
- Then the quadratic form f(x, y) = nx² + bxy + cy² has discriminant b² 4nc = Δ and it properly represents n since f(1,0) = n.

1. If n is a nonzero integer, then there exists a binary quadratic form of discriminant Δ that properly represents n if and only if D is a quadratic residue modulo 4n.

Proof (converse):

- Conversely, suppose ax² + bxy + cy² = n with x, y relatively prime and with b² 4ac = Δ. Multiplying by 4a and completing the square gives 4an = 4a²x² + 4abxy + 4acy² = (2ax + by)² + (b² 4ac)y² so that (b² 4ac)y² ≡ (2ax + by)² (mod 4n).
- Therefore, b² 4ac is a quadratic residue modulo 4n/gcd(y, 4n). By a symmetric argument, we see b² - 4ac is also a quadratic residue modulo 4n/gcd(x, 4n), and since x, y are relatively prime, this means b² - 4ac is a quadratic residue modulo 4n, as required.

 If p is an odd prime, then there exists a binary quadratic form of discriminant Δ that represents p if and only if Δ is a quadratic residue modulo p.

Proof:

- Since *p* is squarefree, any representation of *p* must automatically be proper.
- Then by (1), we see that p is represented by a form of discriminant Δ if and only if Δ is a quadratic residue modulo 4p.
- However, since p is odd and Δ is 0 or 1 modulo 4 (hence is a square modulo 4), by the Chinese remainder theorem this is equivalent to saying that Δ is a quadratic residue modulo p.

The results above give an easy way to decide whether there is *some* quadratic form of discriminant Δ that represents a given prime *p*.

- It therefore stands to reason that if we can understand the structure of the quadratic forms of a given discriminant Δ, then we might be able to determine whether p is represented by a particular quadratic form of discriminant Δ.
- As we will show, there actually is quite a lot of structure to the quadratic forms of a particular discriminant.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XII

To begin, we can see that there are simple changes of variable we can perform that do not affect representability.

- For example, the binary quadratic forms f(x, y) = x² + y² and g(x, y) = f(x - y, y) = x² - 2xy + 2y² represent the same integers, since (x, y) ∈ Z² if and only if (x - y, y) ∈ Z².
- The forms $h(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2$ and $i(x, y) = h(2x + 3y, x + 2y) = 6x^2 + 20xy + 17y^2$ also represent the same integers, since $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if $(2x + 3y, x + 2y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

On the other hand, not every linear change of variables preserves representability.

 For (counter)example, f(x, y) = x² + y² and g(x, y) = f(2x, y) = 4x² + y² do not represent the same integers (e.g., f represents 2 while g does not). The point of these examples is to illustrate that, if we look at values of the quadratic form, we may identify any two quadratic forms that are obtained via a linear change of variables from one another, as long as the change of variables is invertible over \mathbb{Z} .

The correct way to keep track of all this is via matrices:

If
$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$
 is a binary quadratic form, its
associated matrix is the symmetric matrix $M_f = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix}$.

The connection is that the quadratic form f(x, y) is equal to $\mathbf{x}^T M_f \mathbf{x}$ where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ is the column vector of the two variables. Note also that $\det(M_f) = ac - b^2/4 = -\Delta/4$. It is then easy to write down how a binary quadratic form f transforms under a change of coordinates $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$.

- Explicitly, we have $f(A\mathbf{x}) = (A\mathbf{x})^T M_f(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T [A^T M_f A] \mathbf{x}$, and so the associated matrix of the new form is $A^T M_f A$.
- For the purposes of representations of integers, we want only to consider changes of variables x → Ax that are a bijection from Z² to itself, since this ensures that the possible input vectors x are the same for both forms. It is easy to see that this is equivalent to saying that A is an invertible matrix with integer entries whose inverse also has integer entries.
- These conditions imply that det(A⁻¹) = 1/det(A) ∈ Z, so A must have determinant ±1.

Conversely, saying that A is an integer matrix with determinant ± 1 is actually sufficient.

- Explicitly, we can invoke the adjugate inverse formula $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A), \text{ which for } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrices reads as}$ $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{eh - fg} \begin{bmatrix} h & -f \\ -g & e \end{bmatrix}, \text{ to see that if}$ $\det(A) = \pm 1, \text{ then } A^{-1} \text{ also has integer entries.}$
- Thus, the condition of having integer entries and determinant ±1 is both necessary and sufficient for x → Ax to be a bijection from Z² to itself.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XVI

For various reasons (primarily, that the resulting theory is much nicer), we will restrict our attention to changes of coordinates with determinant +1 only, which yields the matrix group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \{M \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \det(M) = 1\}.$

- From our discussion above, for any A ∈ SL₂(Z), we see that the integers represented by the forms f(x) and f(Ax) will be the same, as will the integers properly represented by these two forms.
- Another way to phrase all of this is that we have a group action of SL₂(Z) on the set of binary quadratic forms of discriminant Δ. This action is not faithful, because its kernel is {±1}, so for this reason we often instead act by the group PSL₂Z = SL₂Z/{±1}. (This group is called the modular group and has a natural action on the upper half-plane via fractional linear transformations.)

Now we can talk about equivalence under this group action:

Definition

We define the relation \sim on binary quadratic forms by writing $f \sim g$ if there exists a matrix $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g(\mathbf{x}) = f(A\mathbf{x})$, which is to say that g is obtained from f by an invertible linear change of variables with integer coefficients. Equivalently, $f \sim g$ if there exists $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $M_g = A^T M_f A$.

It is not hard to see that \sim is an equivalence relation:

- 1. To see $f \sim f$, simply take A = 1.
- 2. If $f \sim g$ then $M_g = A^T M_f A$ and so $(A^{-1})^T M_g(A^{-1}) = M_f$ so that $g \sim f$.
- 3. If $f \sim g$ and $g \sim h$ let $M_g = A^T M_f A$ and $M_h = B^T M_g B$: then $M_h = (AB)^T M_f (AB)$ so $f \sim h$.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XVIII

Examples:

- 1. The quadratic forms $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ and $g(x, y) = f(x - y, y) = x^2 - 2xy + 2y^2$ have $f \sim g$, since we can take the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For the matrix calculation, we have $M_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $M_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and indeed we have $M_g = A^T M_f A$. 2. The quadratic forms $f(x, y) = x^2 + 2xy - y^2$ and
- $g(x, y) = 7x^{2} + 22xy + 17y^{2} \text{ have } f \sim g, \text{ since we can take}$ the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in SL_{2}(\mathbb{Z});$ one may check that g(x, y) = f(2x + 3y, x + 2y).

Also, if $f \sim g$ then $\det(M_g) = \det(A^T) \det(M_f) \det(A) = \det(M_f)$, so forms in the same equivalence class have the same discriminant.

Since we are interested in representability of integers by quadratic forms, and representability is the same for different forms in the same equivalence class under \sim , our next task is to identify nice representatives for the equivalence classes under \sim .

Definition

If $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is a binary quadratic form whose discriminant Δ is not a square, we say f is <u>reduced</u> when $-|a| < b \le |a| \le |c|$, and if b = |a| we also insist that |a| < |c|, while if |a| = |c| then we also insist that $b \ge 0$.

Examples:

- The forms $x^2 + y^2$, $x^2 y^2$, $-3x^2 + 3xy + 4y^2$, and $2x^2 + xy + 3y^2$ are all reduced.
- The forms $x^2 + 2xy$, $xy 2y^2$, and $2x^2 + 2xy + y^2$ are not reduced.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XX

Using reduced forms we can show that there are finitely many equivalence classes:

Theorem (Reduced Forms)

Let Δ be a nonsquare integer congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4 and suppose $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is a reduced form of discriminant Δ . Then the following hold:

- 1. If D < 0 then a, c must have the same sign and $|a| \le \sqrt{-\Delta/3}$. If $\Delta > 0$ then a, c have opposite signs and $|a| < \sqrt{\Delta}/2$. In either case, there are finitely many reduced forms of discriminant Δ .
- 2. Every equivalence class of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ contains at least one reduced form.
- **3**. There are finitely many equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms of discriminant Δ.

1. If D < 0 then a, c must have the same sign and $|a| \leq \sqrt{-\Delta/3}$. If $\Delta > 0$ then a, c have opposite signs and $|a| < \sqrt{\Delta}/2$. In either case, there are finitely many reduced forms of discriminant Δ .

Proof:

- If a, c have the same sign, $\Delta = b^2 4ac = b^2 4|a||c| \le 0$, while if a, c are opposite, $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac = b^2 + 4|ac| \ge 0$.
- If $\Delta < 0$ then because $|a| \le |c|$ we see that $\Delta = b^2 - 4 |a| |c| \le a^2 - 4a^2 = -3a^2$ so $|a| \le \sqrt{-\Delta/3}$. If $\Delta > 0$ then again because $|a| \le |c|$ we see that $\Delta = b^2 + 4 |ac| \ge 4a^2$ and so $|a| \le \sqrt{\Delta}/2$.
- In either case there are finitely many values of a. For each of these values of a, there are only finitely many possible b since |b| ≤ |a|, and then c = (b² − Δ)/(4a) is determined. Thus, there are only finitely many reduced forms of discriminant Δ.

2. Every equivalence class of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ contains at least one reduced form.

Motivation:

• The group
$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$
 is generated² by the matrices
 $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

• The idea is then to show that if we have a non-reduced form, we must be able to apply either S or a power of T to obtain a "smaller" form, and so iterating this procedure must eventually yield a reduced form.

²Sketch proof: note that $T^{-q}M$ will subtract q times the second row from the first row, and *SM* will swap the rows and negate the first one: these (up to the scaling by -1) are precisely the operations in the Euclidean algorithm. Applying it will turn the first column into $[10]^{T}$, and then the second column must be $[m1]^{T}$ since it is in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. And this matrix is just T^m .

2. Every equivalence class of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ contains at least one reduced form.

Proof:

- Suppose $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ has discriminant Δ and has associated matrix M_f .
- Then $S^T M_f S = \begin{bmatrix} c & -b/2 \\ -b/2 & a \end{bmatrix}$ corresponds to the form $cx^2 bxy + ay^2$, which swaps the x^2 and y^2 -coefficients while leaving the absolute value of the *xy*-coefficient unchanged.
- Also, $(T^m)^T M_f T^m = \begin{bmatrix} a & a + mb/2 \\ a + mb/2 & m^2a + mb + c \end{bmatrix}$

corresponds to the form $ax^2 + (b + 2am)xy + (am^2 + bm + c)y^2$, which leaves the x^2 -coefficient unchanged and shifts the *xy*-coefficient by 2am.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XXIV

2. Every equivalence class of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ contains at least one reduced form.

<u>Proof</u> (continued):

- Starting with $f = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, loop this algorithm:
- (a) If b is not in the interval (-|a|, |a|], let m be the unique integer such that b+2am ∈ (-|a|, |a|] and apply T^m to the quadratic form. This yields an equivalent form whose xy-coefficient is b+2am ∈ (-|a|, |a|] and whose x²-coefficient is the same. Then go to step (b).
- (b) If b is in the interval (-|a|, |a|], test if |a| = |c|. If so and if b ≥ 0, the form is reduced; otherwise if b < 0 then applying S will yield a reduced form. Otherwise, test whether |a| < |c|. If so, the form is reduced, and if not, apply S to the quadratic form. This yields an equivalent form whose x²-coefficient is smaller in absolute value, and return to step (a).

2. Every equivalence class of quadratic forms of discriminant Δ contains at least one reduced form.

Proof (wrapup):

- After applying the steps once, the form is either reduced or has its x²-coefficient strictly smaller in absolute value, so iterating the procedure must eventually yield a reduced form.
- Since each application of S or T yields an equivalent form, we conclude that every equivalence class contains at least one reduced form.
- There are finitely many equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms of discriminant Δ.

Proof:

• Each equivalence class contains at least one reduced form by (2), and there are finitely many reduced forms by (1).

The algorithm in (2) works to find equivalent reduced forms:

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 17x^2 + 99xy - 46y^2$.

The algorithm in (2) works to find equivalent reduced forms:

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 17x^2 + 99xy - 46y^2$.

- First, since $b \notin (-17, 17]$ we find *m* with $b + 34m \in (-17, 17]$, which gives m = -3.
- Applying T^3 yields the equivalent form $g(x, y) = f(x - 3y, y) = 17x^2 - 3xy - 190y^2$.

• Now because
$$|a| < |c|$$
, the resulting form
 $17x^2 - 3xy - 190y^2$ is reduced.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XXVII

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 119x^2 - 145xy + 17y^2$.

Binary Quadratic Forms, XXVII

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 119x^2 - 145xy + 17y^2$.

- First, since $b \notin (-119, 119]$ we find m with $b + 238m \in (-119, 119]$, which gives m = 1.
- Applying T yields the equivalent form $g(x, y) = f(x + y, y) = 119x^2 + 93xy - 9y^2.$
- Now because |a| > |c| the form is not reduced so we apply S to get the form h(x, y) = f(-y, x) = -9x² 93xy + 119y².
- Then since $b \notin (-9, 9]$ we find m with $b + 18m \in (-9, 9]$, which gives m = 5.
- Applying T^5 yields the equivalent form $i(x, y) = f(x + 5y, y) = -9x^2 - 3xy + 359y^2$. Since |a| < |c|, this form $\boxed{-9x^2 - 3xy + 359y^2}$ is reduced.

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 81x^2 - 65xy + 13y^2$.

Example: Find a reduced form equivalent to $f(x, y) = 81x^2 - 65xy + 13y^2$.

- Applying the algorithm yields the following equivalent forms:
- Apply S, yielding $13x^2 + 65xy + 81y^2$.
- Apply T^{-2} , yielding $13x^2 + 13xy + 3y^2$.
- Apply S, yielding $3y^2 13xy + 13y^2$.
- Apply T^2 , yielding $3y^2 xy y^2$.
- Apply *S*, yielding $-x^2 + xy + 3y^2$, which is reduced.

For small values of Δ we can also use the partial description of reduced forms in (2) from the theorem to make a full list of reduced forms.

• By deciding which of these are equivalent to one another, we can then determine the precise number of equivalence classes of forms.

<u>Example</u>: Find all reduced forms of discriminant $\Delta = -4$ and show that there is only one equivalence class of positive-definite forms.

<u>Example</u>: Find all reduced forms of discriminant $\Delta = -4$ and show that there is only one equivalence class of positive-definite forms.

- From the analysis in (2) we see that any reduced form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ of discriminant $\Delta = -4$ must have $|a| \le \sqrt{4/3}$, so since $a \ne 0$ this means $a = \pm 1$. Then since $|b| \le |a|$ we have $b = 0, \pm 1$.
- Also, since c = (b² Δ)/(4a) must be an integer, b must be even. Thus the only possible forms have a = ±1 and b = 0, which yields the two forms x² + y² and its negative -x² y².
- Therefore, since only $x^2 + y^2$ is positive-definite, it represents the only equivalence class of positive-definite forms.

 $\underline{\text{Example}}: \mbox{ Find all reduced forms of discriminant } \Delta = 13 \mbox{ and determine the number of equivalence classes.}$

Binary Quadratic Forms, XXXI

Example: Find all reduced forms of discriminant $\Delta = 13$ and determine the number of equivalence classes.

- From the analysis in (2) we see that any reduced form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ of discriminant $\Delta = 5$ must have $|a| \le \sqrt{13}/2 < 2$, so since $a \ne 0$ this means $a = \pm 1$. Then since $b \in (-|a|, a)$ we must have b = 0 or b = 1.
- However, since c = (b² − Δ)/(4a) must be an integer, b must be odd, and so b = 1. We then get two possible forms for a = −1 and a = 1 respectively: f(x, y) = −x² + xy + 3y² and g(x, y) = x² + xy 3y².
- Although both of these forms are reduced, they are in fact equivalent: if we take the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ then it is straightforward to check that $A^T M_f A = M_g$, and so $f \sim g$.
- Therefore, there is only one equivalence class with $\Delta = 13$.

If we can classify all of the binary quadratic forms of a given discriminant, we can often identify exactly which primes may be represented by a given form.

- As we proved earlier, a prime p is (properly) represented by a form of discriminant Δ if and only if Δ is a square modulo p.
- If the equivalence classes of the forms of discriminant Δ are represented by f₁, f₂,..., f_k, then (at least) one of the f_i represents p if and only if Δ is a square modulo p.
- In the particular case where there is only one equivalence class, we get a complete characterization of the prime values taken by (any) quadratic form of that discriminant.

Some examples:

- For Δ = −4, p is represented by the unique equivalence class representative f(x, y) = x² + y² if and only if −4 is a square modulo p, which is in turn equivalent to saying that −1 is a square modulo p, which (as we have already noted numerous times) is equivalent to saying that p ≡ 1 (mod 4).
- For $\Delta = 13$, we see that p is represented by the unique equivalence class representative $f(x, y) = x^2 + xy 3y^2$ if and only if 13 is a square modulo p, which (by quadratic reciprocity) is equivalent to saying that p is a quadratic residue modulo 13, which is to say, when p = 13 or when $p \equiv 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12 \pmod{13}$.

Summary

We introduced binary quadratic forms, discussed representability and equivalence of forms, and established that there are only finitely many equivalence classes of forms of a given discriminant. We discussed how to enumerate all reduced forms of a given discriminant.

Next lecture: Composition of forms and the class group.