- 1. Find the radius of convergence for each power series centered at the given point:
 - (a) The series expansion of 1/(z-2) centered at z=0.
 - This function is holomorphic for $z \neq 2$, so the maximal radius centered at z = 0 is the distance to the nearest non-holomorphic point, which is 2.
 - (b) The series expansion of $z^3/(z^2+1)^2$ centered at z=1.
 - This function is holomorphic for $z^2 \neq 1$, which is to say $z \neq 1, -1$, so the maximal radius centered at z = 0 is the distance to the nearest non-holomorphic point, which is $\sqrt{2}$.
 - (c) The series expansion of $\sec z$ centered at z = 0.
 - This function is holomorphic for $\cos z \neq 0$, which is to say for $z \neq \pi/2 + k\pi$ for integers k. So the maximal radius is $\pi/2$.
 - (d) The series expansion of $\frac{z}{\sin z}$ centered at z = 0.
 - The function $\frac{z}{\sin z}$ has a removable singularity at z=0 (as is seen by writing down the power series there) and the denominator is zero for $z=k\pi$ for integers k. So the maximal radius is π .
 - (e) The series expansion of Log(z) centered at z = 1 + i.
 - This function is Log(z) which is holomorphic for $z \notin [0, \infty)$. So the maximal radius centered at z = 1 + i is the distance to the nearest non-holomorphic point 1, so the radius is $\boxed{1}$.
 - (f) The series expansion of $\frac{1}{e^{1/z}-1}$ centered at z=i.
 - This function is holomorphic for $z \neq 0$ and $e^{1/z} \neq 1$, which is to say for $z \neq 0$ and $z \neq 1/(2\pi ki)$ for integers k.
 - The closest such point to z = i is $z = i/(2\pi)$. So the maximal radius centered at z = i is the distance to this point, which is $1 1/(2\pi)$.
- 2. Solve the following optimization problems, and briefly justify your responses:
 - (a) Find the maximum value of $|z^2 + 3z 1|$ for $|z| \le 1$.
 - Since $f(z) = z^2 + 3z 1$ is holomorphic, by the maximum modulus principle the maximum occurs on the boundary circle |z| = 1.
 - For $z = e^{i\theta}$ we see $|z^2 + 3z 1|^2 = (e^{2i\theta} + 3e^{i\theta} 1)(e^{-2i\theta} + 3e^{-i\theta} 1) = 11 (e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta}) = 11 2\cos 2\theta$.
 - The maximum value clearly occurs for $\cos 2\theta = -1$, which is to say for $\theta = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$, yielding $z = \pm i$, and the maximum is $\sqrt{13}$.
 - (b) Find the maximum value of $|z^2 + i|$ for $|z| \le 2$.
 - Since $f(z) = z^2 + i$ is holomorphic, by the maximum modulus principle the maximum occurs on the boundary circle |z| = 2.
 - For $z = 2e^{i\theta}$ we see $|z^2 + i|^2 = (4e^{2i\theta} + i)(4e^{-2i\theta} i) = 17 + 4ie^{-2i\theta} 4ie^{2i\theta} = 17 + 8\sin 2\theta$.
 - The maximum value clearly occurs for $\sin 2\theta = 1$, which is to say for $\theta = \pi/4, 9\pi/4$ yielding $z = \pm(\sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2})$, and the maximum is $\sqrt{25} = 5$.
 - (c) Find the maximum value of $|20z^{25} + 3 + 4i|$ for $|z| \le 1$. [Hint: Triangle inequality.]
 - By the triangle inequality we have $|20z^{25} + 3 + 4i| \le |20z^{25}| + |3 + 4i| = 20 + 5 = 25$.

- In fact, this bound is achievable: per the maximum modulus principle we want to look only when |z|=1, and if we do so, then to get equality in the triangle inequality we want to take $20z^{25}$ to be a nonnegative real multiple of 3+4i. This can be done if we take $z^{25}=\frac{3}{5}+\frac{4}{5}i$ (using any 25th root). So the maximum is in fact 25.
- 3. Find the requested terms in the Laurent expansion for each function f(z) on the given region:
 - (a) The terms from degree -5 to 5 of $f(z) = 1/(z+z^2)$ for 0 < |z| < 1.
 - We have $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{1+z} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^n = \boxed{z^{-1} 1 + z z^2 + z^3 z^4 + z^5 + \cdots}$
 - (b) The terms from degree -5 to 5 of $f(z) = 1/(z+z^2)$ for |z| > 1.
 - We have $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+1/z} = \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{-n} = \boxed{\cdots z^{-5} + z^{-4} z^{-3} + z^{-2}}.$
 - (c) The terms from degree -3 to 3 of $f(z) = 1/(z+z^2)$ for 0 < |z+1| < 1.
 - With w = z + 1, $f(z) = -\frac{1}{w} \cdot \frac{1}{1 w} = -\frac{1}{w} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n = \boxed{-(z+1)^{-1} 1 (z+1)^1 (z+1)^2 (z+1)^3 \cdots}$
 - (d) The terms from degree -4 to 4 of $f(z) = 1/(z+z^2)$ for |z+1| > 1.
 - With w = z + 1, $f(z) = \frac{1}{w^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 1/w} = \frac{1}{w^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^{-n} = \boxed{\cdots + (z+1)^{-4} + (z+1)^{-3} + (z+1)^{-2}}$
 - (e) The terms from degree -3 to 3 of $f(z) = \frac{1}{e^z 1}$ for $0 < |z| < 2\pi$.
 - We compute that $f(z) = \frac{1}{z + z^2/2! + z^3/3! + z^4/4! \cdots} = z^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + z/2 + z^2/6 + z^3/24 + \cdots}$ = $z^{-1} [1 - \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{12}z^2 - \frac{1}{720}z^4 + \cdots] = \boxed{z^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}z - \frac{1}{720}z^3 + \cdots}$.
- 4. Prove that the function f(z) is entire if and only if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ where $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0$.
 - If f(z) is entire, then it is analytic at 0 and its power series there has infinite radius of convergence: this means $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ where $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0$.
 - But the limsup is zero if and only if the limit itself exists and is zero. So $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ where $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0$.
 - Conversely, if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ where $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0$, then f is analytic at 0 with infinite radius of convergence. Since analytic functions are holomorphic inside their radius of convergence, that means f is entire.
- 5. The goal of this problem is to prove the minimum modulus principle.
 - (a) Suppose that f(z) is holomorphic in a closed bounded region R and |f(z)| > 0 on R. Show that if the minimum value of |f(z)| occurs at a point z_0 in the interior of R, then f is constant on R. [Hint: Consider 1/f.]
 - Consider 1/f(z): since f(z) is nonzero on R, it is holomorphic on R. Then by the maximum modulus principle applied to 1/f(z), if the maximum modulus of 1/f(z) occurs at a point z_0 in the interior of R, then 1/f is constant on R.
 - Taking reciprocals everywhere yields the desired statement, since |1/f(z)| is maximized precisely when |f(z)| is minimized.
 - (b) Deduce that if f(z) is holomorphic in a closed bounded region R and |f(z)| > 0 on R, then the minimum value of |f(z)| on R must occur at a point on the boundary of R.

- Take the contrapositive of (a).
- (c) Show that the hypothesis |f(z)| > 0 cannot be removed from part (b) by giving an example of a nonconstant holomorphic f(z) such that the minimum value of |f(z)| occurs at a point z_0 in the interior of R
 - There are lots of options but an easy one is f(z) = z with $R : |z| \le 1$. Then f(0) = 0 while |f(z)| = 1 on the boundary of R, so the minimum of |f(z)| occurs only at the interior point $z_0 = 0$.
- 6. The goal of this problem is to give another proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra, due to Boas. Suppose that $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n z^n$ is a polynomial of degree $k \ge 1$ that is never zero.
 - (a) Define $q(z) = p(z)\overline{p}(z) = [\sum_{n=0}^k a_n z^n][\sum_{n=0}^k \overline{a_n} z^n]$. Show that q(z) has degree $2k \geq 2$, has real coefficients, and is never zero on \mathbb{R} hence is either always positive or always negative on \mathbb{R} . [Hint: Notice that $\overline{p}(z) = \overline{p(\overline{z})}$.]
 - First, the leading term is $|a_k|^2 z^{2k}$ so the degree is $2k \ge 2$.
 - Next, per the hint we first note $\overline{p}(z) = \overline{p(\overline{z})}$: thus conjugating the coefficients of a polynomial is the same as evaluating at on \overline{z} and then conjugating the result.
 - So, conjugating the coefficients of q yields $\overline{q}(z) = \overline{q(\overline{z})} = \overline{p(\overline{z})}\overline{p}(\overline{z}) = \overline{p}(z)p(z) = q(z)$. Since this just gives q(z) again, that means all coefficients of q are real.
 - Next, q(z) = 0 implies $p(z)\overline{p}(z) = 0$ which is the same as $p(z)\overline{p}(\overline{z}) = 0$. So either p(z) = 0 which is impossible or $\overline{p}(\overline{z}) = 0$ whence $p(\overline{z}) = 0$, but this is again impossible. So q is never zero.
 - Finally since q is never zero on \mathbb{R} and has real coefficients, by the intermediate value theorem it cannot change sign, so it is either always positive or always negative.
 - (b) Continuing (a), let $r(z) = z^{2k}q(z+z^{-1})$. Show that r(z) is entire and nonzero.
 - By (a), q is a polynomial of degree 2k so suppose $q(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \cdots + b_{2k} z^{2k}$.
 - Then $r(z) = z^{2k}q(z+z^{-1}) = z^{2k}[b_0 + b_1(z+z^{-1}) + \cdots + b_{2k}(z+z^{-1})^{2k}] = z^{2k}b_0 + z^{2k-1}b_1(z^2+1) + \cdots + b_{2k}(z^2+1)^{2k}$ which is a polynomial (technically, depending on one's philosophy, we are ignoring the removable singularity at z=0).
 - Clearly r(z) = 0 can be zero only when $z^{2k} = 0$ or when $q(z + z^{-1}) = 0$. Since q is never zero the latter cannot happen, and since $r(0) = b_{2k} \neq 0$ from the expansion above we see that r(z) is never zero
 - (c) Continuing (b), show that $-i \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^{2k-1}}{r(z)} dz = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{q(2\cos\theta)} d\theta$ where γ is the counterclockwise boundary of the unit circle. Explain why the first integral is zero while the second integral is nonzero, and obtain a contradiction.
 - Starting with $-i \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^{2k-1}}{r(z)} dz$ take the parametrization $\gamma(t) = e^{i\theta}$ for $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.
 - Then $r(z) = r(e^{i\theta}) = (e^{i\theta})^{2k} q(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) = e^{2ki\theta} q(2\cos\theta)$ with $z^{2k-1} dz = (e^{i\theta})^{2k-1} i e^{i\theta} d\theta = i e^{2ki\theta} d\theta$.
 - So making the substitution yields $-i\int_{\gamma} \frac{z^{2k-1}}{r(z)} dz = -i\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{ie^{2ki\theta}}{e^{2ki\theta}q(2\cos\theta)} d\theta = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{q(2\cos\theta)} d\theta$. So we get the claimed equality.
 - For the first integral, we see that $\frac{z^{2k-1}}{r(z)}$ is holomorphic everywhere since r(z) is holomorphic and nonzero by (b), so by our results, it integrates to zero on the closed contour γ .
 - On the other hand, by (a), the expression $q(2\cos\theta)$ is always either positive or negative on $[0, 2\pi]$, so the integral is nonzero. This is the desired contradiction, since zero cannot equal a nonzero number.
- 7. The goal of this problem is to give another proof of the differentiation-via-integration formula $f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^2} dz$. So suppose f is a holomorphic function on a simply connected region R and let γ be a counterclockwise circle of radius r > 0 centered at z_0 in the interior of R such that the disc $|z-z_0| \le r$ lies inside R.

- (a) Show that $\frac{f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)}{h}=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}\frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)(z-z_0-h)}\,dz$. [Hint: Use Cauchy's integral formula.]
 - By Cauchy's integral formula we have $f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z z_0} dz$ and $f(z_0 + h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z (z_0 + h)} dz$.
 - Then $\frac{f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{f(z)}{z-z_0-h} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} \right] dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)(z-z_0-h)} dz$ by putting the fractions together and cancelling the factor of h that drops out.
- (b) [Challenge] For |h| < r, let $g_h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)(z-z_0-h)}$. Show that as $h \to 0$ the functions $g_h(z)$ converge uniformly to the limit $g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^2}$. [Hint: Restrict attention to |h| < r/2, then suppose $|f(z)| \le M$ on γ_r and bound $|g_h(z) g(z)|$ from above.]
 - As noted several times in class, since f is continuous and γ_r is closed, f is bounded on γ_r , so suppose that $|f(z)| \leq M$ and let $\epsilon > 0$.
 - Then for $|h| < \min(r/2, \frac{r^3 \epsilon}{2M})$, we have $|g_h(z) g(z)| = \left| \frac{f(z) \cdot h}{(z z_0)^2 (z z_0 h)} \right| < \frac{M \cdot (r^3 \epsilon/(2M))}{r^2 (r/2)} = \frac{\epsilon}{r^2 (r/2)}$
- (c) Show that $f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^2} dz$. [Hint: Use uniform convergence to change the order of the integral and the limit as $h \to 0$.]
 - Since the functions g_h converge uniformly to g as $h \to 0$, we may interchange the limit and integral to obtain

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)(z - z_0 - h)} dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)(z - z_0 - h)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^2} dz$$

as required.

- 8. [Challenge] The goal of this problem is to give another another proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra that does not require any actual complex analysis. Suppose p(z) is a polynomial.
 - (a) Show that |p(z)| must attain its minimum value at some point in \mathbb{C} . [Hint: Since $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |p(z)| = \infty$, pick R with |p(z)| > |p(0)| for |z| > R. Then use the extreme value theorem on the region $|z| \le R$.]
 - As shown in class, we have $\lim_{|z|\to\infty}|p(z)|=\infty$. So in particular there exists some R such that |p(z)|>|p(0)| for |z|>R.
 - Now, the region $|z| \leq R$ is closed and bounded and p(z) is a polynomial hence continuous, hence |p(z)| is also continuous. By the extreme value theorem, a continuous function on a closed bounded region attains its minimum and maximum, so there exists some z_0 with $|p(z_0)| \leq |p(z)|$ for all $|z| \leq R$.
 - In particular we have $|p(z_0)| \le |p(0)| < |p(z)|$ for all |z| > R, so in fact $|p(z_0)| \le |p(z)|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This means |p(z)| attains its global minimum value at z_0 .
 - (b) Suppose that $q(z) = 1 + b(z z_0)^r + \sum_{n=r+1}^k b_n(z z_0)^n$ where $b \neq 0$. Show that there exists z with |q(z)| < 1. [Hint: Take $b(z z_0)^r = -t$ and then show the sum is small relative to t as $t \to 0+$.]
 - Following the hint, restrict attention to the situation where $b(z-z_0)^r = -t$ is a small positive real number, which is to say, $z = z_0 + (-t/b)^{1/r}$ as $t \to 0+$ from below.
 - number, which is to say, $z = z_0 + (-t/b)^{1/r}$ as $t \to 0+$ from below. • Then $q(z) = 1 - t + \sum_{n=r+1}^{k} b_n (-t/b)^{n/r} = 1 - t + \sum_{n=r+1}^{k} c_n t^{n/r}$ where $c_n = b_n/(-b)^{n/r}$.
 - Since $\lim_{t\to 0} \sum_{n=r+1}^k c_n t^{(n-r)/r} = 0$ since all of the terms have a positive power of t, in particular there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $0 < t < \delta$ we have $\left| \sum_{n=r+1}^k c_n t^{n/r} \right| < t/2$.
 - Then for such t, by the triangle inequality we have $|q(z)| \le |1-t| + \left| \sum_{n=r+1}^k c_n t^{n/r} \right| \le 1 t/2 < 1$. Thus q(z) takes a value of absolute value less than 1 as claimed.

- (c) Suppose that $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n(z-z_0)^n$ is not constant and $|p(z_0)| > 0$. Show that there exists some z with $|p(z)| < |p(z_0)|$. [Hint: Write $p(z)/a_0 = 1 + b(z-z_0)^r + \sum_{n=r+1}^k b_n(z-z_0)^n$.]
 - Per the hint since $a_0 = p(z_0)$ is not zero, we may divide through by a_0 and write $p(z)/a_0 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k} (a_n/a_0)(z-z_0)^n$. Now since p is not constant there must be some nonzero coefficient a_n/a_0 in the sum: suppose the one with smallest n is a_r/a_0 .
 - Then for $b = a_r/a_0$ and $b_n = a_n/a_0$ we have $p(z)/a_0 = 1 + b(z-z_0)^r + \sum_{n=r+1}^k b_n(z-z_0)^n$. By (b) applied to this q(z) there exists some z with |q(z)| < 1, which is to say, $|p(z)| < |a_0| = |p(z_0)|$, as desired.
- (d) Show that the minimum value of |p(z)| must be zero and deduce that p(z) has a root in \mathbb{C} .
 - By (a), |p(z)| attains its minimum value at some point z_0 . If this minimum is not zero, then by (c), there exists some z with $|p(z)| < |p(z_0)|$. This is a contradiction. Hence the minimum must be zero, so p(z) has a root in \mathbb{C} .