Math 1365 (Intensive Mathematical Reasoning)

Lecture #14 of 35 \sim October 11, 2023

Modular Congruences + Residue Classes

- Congruences Modulo *m*
- Residue Classes

This material represents §2.5.1-§2.5.2 from the course notes.

<u>Note</u>: Midterm 1 covers up through $\S2.4$, meaning that today's material will NOT appear on Midterm 1.

In various situations, we naturally group together certain kinds of integers in ways that respect laws of arithmetic.

- For example, if we group the integers together into "even" and "odd", then as you worked out carefully on the homework,
 - even + even = even
 - even + odd = odd
 - $\mathsf{odd} + \mathsf{even} \ = \ \mathsf{odd}$
 - $\mathsf{odd} + \mathsf{odd} \ = \ \mathsf{even}$

In various situations, we naturally group together certain kinds of integers in ways that respect laws of arithmetic.

• For example, if we group the integers together into "even" and "odd", then as you worked out carefully on the homework,

even + even = even even + odd = odd odd + even = oddodd + odd = even

- These rules are true regardless of which specific even and odd numbers we add together.
- We have a similar phenomenon with multiplication (odd times odd is odd, and even times anything is even).

As another example, consider the fact that 9 hours after 4 o'clock, it is 1 o'clock, despite the fact that 9 + 4 = 13, not 1.

As another example, consider the fact that 9 hours after 4 o'clock, it is 1 o'clock, despite the fact that 9 + 4 = 13, not 1.

- Similarly, 6 hours after 11 o'clock, it is 5 o'clock, even though 6 + 11 = 17 rather than 5.
- The point is that in general, we identify times that are 12 hours apart and view them as equivalent, at least as far as the clock is concerned.
- In fact, this is exactly the same thing we do when we condense integers down to "even" and "odd", except with even and odd we identify integers that differ by a multiple of 2, rather than identifying times that differ by a multiple of 12 hours.

Let's formalize this idea.

Let's formalize this idea:

Definition

If m is a positive integer and m divides b - a, we say that a and b are <u>congruent modulo</u> <u>m</u> (or <u>equivalent modulo</u> <u>m</u>), and write " $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ".

The statement $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ can be thought of as saying "a and b are equal, up to adding or subtracting a multiple of m".

- Notation: As shorthand we usually write "a ≡ b (mod m)", or even just "a ≡ b" when the modulus m is clear from the context.
- Observe that if m|(b-a), then (-m)|(b-a) as well, so we do not lose anything by assuming that the modulus m is positive.

Examples: Remember $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ means m | (b - a). 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 - 3 = 6.

1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 - 3 = 6.

2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 - (-2) = 30.

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.
- 4. We have $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 3 (-3) = 6.

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.
- 4. We have $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 3 (-3) = 6.
- 5. We have $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{3}$, as 3 does not divide 7 2 = 5. More Examples:
 - **1**. Is $4 \equiv 19 \pmod{5}$?

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.
- 4. We have $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 3 (-3) = 6.

5. We have $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{3}$, as 3 does not divide 7 - 2 = 5. More Examples:

Is 4 ≡ 19 (mod 5)? Yes, since 5|(19 – 4).
 Is 0 ≡ 30 (mod 6)?

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.
- 4. We have $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 3 (-3) = 6.

5. We have $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{3}$, as 3 does not divide 7 - 2 = 5. More Examples:

1. Is
$$4 \equiv 19 \pmod{5}$$
? Yes, since $5|(19 - 4)$.
2. Is $0 \equiv 30 \pmod{6}$? Yes, since $6|(30 - 0)$.
3. Is $0 \equiv 30 \pmod{7}$?

- 1. We have $3 \equiv 9 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 9 3 = 6.
- 2. We have $-2 \equiv 28 \pmod{5}$, as 5 divides 28 (-2) = 30.
- 3. We have $0 \equiv -666 \pmod{3}$, as 3 divides -666 0 = -666.
- 4. We have $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, as 6 divides 3 (-3) = 6.

5. We have $2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{3}$, as 3 does not divide 7 - 2 = 5. More Examples:

- 1. Is $4 \equiv 19 \pmod{5}$? Yes, since 5|(19 4).
- 2. Is $0 \equiv 30 \pmod{6}$? Yes, since $6 \mid (30 0)$.
- 3. Is $0 \equiv 30 \pmod{7}$? No, since $7 \nmid (30 0)$.

Modular congruences share a number of properties with equalities:

Proposition (Properties of Congruences)

For any modulus m > 0 and any integers a, b, c, d, we have

$$1. a \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

- 2. $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.
- 3. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$.
- 4. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$.
- 5. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.
- 6. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$ for any c > 0.
- 7. If d|m, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ implies $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$.

Modular Congruences, IV

1.
$$a \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
.

1. $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

Proof:

- By definition, $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$ is equivalent to m|(a a).
- But this just says m|0, and that is true! (Because $0 = 0 \cdot m$.)

2. $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

1. $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

Proof:

- By definition, $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$ is equivalent to m|(a a).
- But this just says m|0, and that is true! (Because $0 = 0 \cdot m$.)

2.
$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
 if and only if $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

- First suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a), so b-a = km for some k.
- Then a b = (-k)m, so m|(a b), meaning $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.
- The converse follows in the same way.

Modular Congruences, V

3. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$. <u>Proof</u>:

Modular Congruences, V

3. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$. Proof:

- Suppose $a \equiv b$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a) and m|(c-b).
- Then *m* also divides the sum (c b) + (b a) = c a.
- But that means $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$, as required.
- 4. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$.

Modular Congruences, V

3. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$. Proof:

- Suppose $a \equiv b$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a) and m|(c-b).
- Then *m* also divides the sum (c b) + (b a) = c a.
- But that means $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$, as required.
- 4. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$.

- Suppose $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a) and m|(d-c).
- Then *m* also divides (d c) + (b a) = (b + d) (a + c).
- But that means $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$, as required.

5. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

5. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

Proof:

- Suppose $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a) and m|(d-c).
- Then *m* also divides *d*(*b* − *a*) and *a*(*d* − *c*) and thus also divides their sum,

d(b-a) + a(d-c) = (bd-ad) + (ad-ac) = bd-ac. But that means $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$, as required.

5. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

Proof:

- Suppose $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$.
- Then m|(b-a) and m|(d-c).
- Then m also divides d(b a) and a(d c) and thus also divides their sum,
 d(b a) + a(d c) = (bd ad) + (ad ac) = bd ac. But

that means $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$, as required.

6. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$ for any c > 0.

7. If d|m, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ implies $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$.

<u>Proofs</u>: Homework 6. (Due a week after the midterm.)

Let me draw your attention in particular to the first five of these properties, where the modulus is m in all situations:

1. $a \equiv a$.

- 2. $a \equiv b$ if and only if $b \equiv a$.
- 3. If $a \equiv b$ and $b \equiv c$, then $a \equiv c$.
- 4. If $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d$, then $a + c \equiv b + d$.
- 5. If $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d$, then $ac \equiv bd$.

Let me draw your attention in particular to the first five of these properties, where the modulus is m in all situations:

1. $a \equiv a$.

2. $a \equiv b$ if and only if $b \equiv a$.

3. If $a \equiv b$ and $b \equiv c$, then $a \equiv c$.

4. If
$$a \equiv b$$
 and $c \equiv d$, then $a + c \equiv b + d$.

5. If $a \equiv b$ and $c \equiv d$, then $ac \equiv bd$.

Notice that these all become very familiar properties of equality if we replace the congruence sign \equiv with an equals sign =.

- The point: these properties tell us that congruence mod *m* behaves a lot like a "weaker" version of equality.
- Also, congruence behaves well with respect to the arithmetic operations + and .

The motivation for talking about congruences is our observation from earlier that we can do arithmetic with the words "even" and "odd" that mirrors the arithmetic of the integers.

- Notice that the even integers are the integers congruent to 0 modulo 2, while the odd integers are the integers congruent to 1 modulo 2.
- In the same way, "1 o'clock" is shorthand for a set of times that includes 1, 13, 25, and in general, all the times congruent to 1 modulo 12.

So let's examine more generally the set consisting of all integers congruent to a particular integer a modulo m.

Definition

If a is an integer, the <u>residue class of a modulo m</u>, denoted \overline{a} , is the collection of all integers congruent to a modulo m.

<u>Remark</u>: The residue class \overline{a} depends on the modulus m. It is just an unfortunate aspect of the notation that m is not included, and needs to be known from context.

- Let's write out what the elements in the residue class \overline{a} are.
- Since a ≡ b (mod m) precisely when m|(b a) precisely when there exists an integer k with b - a = km, we see that ā = {a + km, k ∈ Z}.
- More explicitly,

$$\overline{a} = \{\ldots, a-3m, a-2m, a-m, a, a+m, a+2m, a+3m, \ldots\}.$$

Here are some examples of residue classes for different moduli *m*:

• The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set $\{\ldots, -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, \ldots\}$.

- The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set $\{\dots, -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, \dots\}$.
- The residue class of 2 modulo 5 is the set $\{\ldots,-8,-3,2,7,12,17,\ldots\}.$

- The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set $\{\dots, -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, \dots\}$.
- The residue class of 2 modulo 5 is the set $\{\ldots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 11 modulo 19 is the set $\{\ldots, -27, -8, 11, 30, 49, 68, , \ldots\}$.

- The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set {..., -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, ... }.
- The residue class of 2 modulo 5 is the set $\{\ldots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 11 modulo 19 is the set {..., -27, -8, 11, 30, 49, 68, ,...}.
- The residue class of 0 modulo 2 is the set $\{\ldots,-6,-4,-2,0,2,4,6,8,\ldots\}$ of even integers.

- The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set {..., -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, ... }.
- The residue class of 2 modulo 5 is the set $\{\ldots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 11 modulo 19 is the set $\{\ldots, -27, -8, 11, 30, 49, 68, , \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 0 modulo 2 is the set $\{\ldots,-6,-4,-2,0,2,4,6,8,\ldots\}$ of even integers.
- The residue class of 1 modulo 2 is the set $\{\ldots,-5,-3,-1,1,3,5,7,9,\ldots\}$ of odd integers.

- The residue class of 2 modulo 4 is the set {..., -6, -2, 2, 6, 10, 14, ... }.
- The residue class of 2 modulo 5 is the set $\{\ldots, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 11 modulo 19 is the set $\{\ldots, -27, -8, 11, 30, 49, 68, \ldots\}$.
- The residue class of 0 modulo 2 is the set $\{\ldots,-6,-4,-2,0,2,4,6,8,\ldots\}$ of even integers.
- The residue class of 1 modulo 2 is the set $\{\ldots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \ldots\}$ of odd integers.
- More generally, the residue class of 0 modulo *m* is the set {..., -3m, -2m, -m, 0, m, 2m, 3m, ...} of multiples of *m*.

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

• Here's one: $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

- Here's one: $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{0} = \{\ldots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \ldots\}.$

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

- Here's one: $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{0} = \{\ldots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \ldots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{1} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\}.$

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

- Here's one: $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{0} = \{\ldots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \ldots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{1} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{3} = \{\ldots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \ldots\}.$

Notice anything interesting here?

Let's examine residue classes modulo 3 more closely:

- Here's one: $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{0} = \{\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{1} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\}.$
- Another: $\overline{3} = \{\ldots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \ldots\}.$

Notice anything interesting here?

- In fact, the residue class $\overline{3}$ is *exactly the same* as the residue class $\overline{0}$ modulo 3.
- Remember, the residue classes $\overline{0}$ and $\overline{3}$ are sets, and these two sets (as you can see) have exactly the same elements in them.

So far we found three different residue classes modulo 3:

- Zeroth, $\overline{0} = \{\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \dots\}.$
- First, $\overline{1} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\}.$
- Second, $\overline{2} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\}.$

We saw that $\overline{3}$ turned out the same as $\overline{0}$. Can you identify any other residue classes modulo 3? Are they the same as the ones listed above, or can you find new ones?

So far we found three different residue classes modulo 3:

- Zeroth, $\overline{0} = \{\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \dots\}.$
- First, $\overline{1} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\}.$
- Second, $\overline{2} = \{\ldots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \ldots\}.$

We saw that $\overline{3}$ turned out the same as $\overline{0}$. Can you identify any other residue classes modulo 3? Are they the same as the ones listed above, or can you find new ones?

- Try $\overline{4} = \{\dots, -11, -8, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots\} = \overline{1}.$
- Or $\overline{5} = \{\dots, -10, -7, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, \dots\} = \overline{2}.$
- How about $\overline{11} = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, \dots\}$? No, in fact, that's the same as $\overline{2}$.

If you tried to find some other residue classes modulo 3, you'll discover they just end up duplicating one of the three we already found: $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$.

- So it seems that there are really only three different residue classes modulo 3, each of which has lots of different names. What pattern do the names have?
- For instance, $\overline{0}$ is the same as $\overline{3}$ and also the same as $\overline{6}$ and $\overline{-3}$ and

If you tried to find some other residue classes modulo 3, you'll discover they just end up duplicating one of the three we already found: $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$.

- So it seems that there are really only three different residue classes modulo 3, each of which has lots of different names. What pattern do the names have?
- For instance, $\overline{0}$ is the same as $\overline{3}$ and also the same as $\overline{6}$ and $\overline{-3}$ and
- It seems pretty clear that when we write out $\overline{0} = \{\dots, -9, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \dots\}$, if we take the residue class of any element in $\overline{0}$ (e.g., -6), that residue class is just equal to $\overline{0}$ again (i.e., $\overline{-6} = \overline{0}$).

In fact, all of these observations hold in general:

Proposition (Properties of Residue Classes)

Let m > 0 be a modulus. Then

- 1. If a and b are integers with respective residue classes \overline{a} , \overline{b} modulo m, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$.
- 2. Two residue classes modulo m are either disjoint or identical.
- 3. There are exactly *m* distinct residue classes modulo *m*, given by $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, ..., $\overline{m-1}$.

We will prove these properties next time.

Winding Down, I

Definition

The collection of residue classes modulo m is denoted $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (read as " \mathbb{Z} modulo m \mathbb{Z} ").

- <u>Remark</u>: Many other authors denote this collection of residue classes modulo m as \mathbb{Z}_m .¹ We will avoid this notation and exclusively use $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (or its shorthand \mathbb{Z}/m), since \mathbb{Z}_m is used elsewhere in algebra and number theory for a different object.
- By the properties on the previous slide, $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ contains exactly *m* elements: namely, $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, ..., $\overline{m-1}$.

¹You may feel free, if you see other people writing the integers modulo m this way, that I specifically said you should tell them they're using the wrong notation.

We will continue our discussion of residue class arithmetic a week from today.

- Next class will be devoted to exam review. I will present the solutions to some of the problems on the review sheet, and also take requests for other problems that people would like to see the solutions to.
- Monday's class will be the midterm exam (it is still in person, in the regular classroom). Please try to arrive at least 5 minutes early to class so everyone can get settled and the exam can start promptly.



We introduced congruences modulo m and established some basic properties of congruences.

We defined residue classes modulo m and established some of their basic properties.

Next lecture: Review for midterm 1.