Math 5111 (Algebra 1) Lecture #17 of 24 \sim November 9th, 2020

Products of Subgroups

- Products of Subgroups
- Semidirect Products

This material represents §3.4.3-3.4.4 from the course notes.

We proved earlier that every finitely generated abelian group decomposes as a direct product of cyclic groups.

- This result tells us that finitely generated abelian groups can be built up from subgroups by taking products.
- We can often piece other groups together from subgroups in a similar way.
- We would like to study how to do this, because it will give us more ways to construct finite groups and classify groups of a given order.

If *H* and *K* are subgroups of *G*, then we can certainly consider the subgroup $\langle H, K \rangle$ generated by *H* and *K*.

- However, the elements in this subgroup are hard to write down in general, since they are words of arbitrary length in the elements of *H* and *K*.
- If elements from H and K commute with one another, then by rearranging the elements in the word and using the fact that H and K are closed under multiplication, we can reduce any word to a product of the form hk for h ∈ H and k ∈ K.
- We will now look at the same set of elements for arbitrary subgroups: this is the idea of the product of two subgroups.

Products of Subgroups, III

Definition

If H and K are subgroups of G, then the <u>product</u> HK is the set $HK = \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}.$

The product of two subgroups is not necessarily a subgroup of G.

- For example, for $H = \{1, (12)\}$, $K = \{1, (13)\}$ in $G = S_3$, the product $HK = \{1, (12), (13), (132)\}$, which is not a subgroup of G.
- However, in some cases HK will be a subgroup: for example, with $H = \{1, (12)\}$ and $K = \{1, (34)\}$ in $G = S_4$, then $HK = \{1, (12), (34), (12)(34)\}$ is indeed a subgroup of G.

Products of Subgroups, IV

We have various properties of subgroup products:

Proposition (Products of Subgroups)

Let G be a group and H and K be subgroups of G.

1. If H and K are finite, then
$$\#(HK) = \frac{\#H \cdot \#K}{\#(H \cap K)}$$
.

- 2. The product HK is a subgroup of G if and only if HK = KH.
- 3. If $H \leq N_G(K)$ or $K \leq N_G(H)$, then HK is a subgroup of G.
- 4. If H or K is normal in G, then HK is a subgroup of G.
- 5. If both H and K are normal in G, and $H \cap K = \{e\}$, then HK is isomorphic to the direct product $H \times K$.
- 6. If $n_p = 1$ for every prime p dividing #G, then G is the (internal) direct product of its Sylow subgroups. Such groups are called <u>nilpotent</u> groups.

- 1. If *H* and *K* are finite, then $\#(HK) = \frac{\#H \cdot \#K}{\#(H \cap K)}$.
 - Observe that *HK* is a union of left cosets of *K*: specifically: *HK* = ∪_{h∈H}hK.
 - Thus we need only count how many distinct left cosets are obtained, since each left coset has cardinality #K.
 - Consider the action of *H* by left multiplication on the left cosets of *K* in *HK*: by definition, there is a single orbit for this action.

Products of Subgroups, VI

Proofs:

- 1. If H and K are finite, then $\#(HK) = \frac{\#H \cdot \#K}{\#(H \cap K)}$.
 - Notice that the stabilizer of the left coset eK is the set of h ∈ H with h ⋅ eK = eK, which is equivalent to saying h ∈ K.
 - Thus, the stabilizer is simply the set of h ∈ H such that h ∈ K, which is to say, it is the intersection H ∩ K.
 - So by the orbit-stabilizer theorem, the size of the orbit is equal to the index $[H : H \cap K]$. This means $\#(HK) = \#K \cdot [H : H \cap K] = \frac{\#H \cdot \#K}{\#(H \cap K)}$, as claimed.

<u>Remark</u>: If H or K is infinite, then trivially HK is also infinite. We also emphasize that HK is not assumed to be a subgroup here.

- 2. The product *HK* is a subgroup of *G* if and only if HK = KH.
 - First suppose HK = KH.
 - Let g = hk and g' = h'k' be elements of HK, with $h, h' \in H$ and $k, k' \in K$.
 - Then since HK = KH, the element kh' ∈ KH is of the form h"k" for some h" ∈ H and k" ∈ K.
 - Then $gg' = hkh'k' = h(kh')k' = h(h''k'')k' = (hh'')(k''k') \in HK$.
 - Likewise, g⁻¹ = k⁻¹h⁻¹ ∈ KH = HK. Since the identity e = ee is clearly in HK, this means HK is a subgroup of G.

- 2. The product *HK* is a subgroup of *G* if and only if HK = KH.
 - Conversely, suppose *HK* is a subgroup.
 - Then since H and K are both in HK, we have $\langle H, K \rangle = HK$ and so $KH \subseteq \langle H, K \rangle = HK$.
 - For the other containment, suppose $k \in K$ and $h \in H$.
 - Then we have h⁻¹k⁻¹ ∈ HK, so since HK is closed under inverses, we see (h⁻¹k⁻¹)⁻¹ = kh must be in HK for any k, h.
 - Thus, $HK \subseteq KH$, and so in fact HK = KH.

- 3. If $H \leq N_G(K)$ or $K \leq N_G(H)$, then HK is a subgroup of G.
 - Suppose $H \leq N_G(K)$, and let $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.
 - By hypothesis, hkh⁻¹ ∈ K, and therefore we can write hk = (hkh⁻¹)h ∈ KH.
 - Thus, $hk \in KH$, and so $HK \subseteq KH$.
 - Likewise, $kh = h(h^{-1}kh) \in HK$, and so $KH \subseteq HK$.
 - We therefore have KH = HK, and so HK is a subgroup of G by (2).
 - The case where $K \leq N_G(H)$ is essentially identical.

4. If H or K is normal in G, then HK is a subgroup of G.

- If H is normal in G, then $N_G(H) = G$.
- Thus, trivially K ≤ N_G(H). So by (3), HK is a subgroup of G.
- Likewise, if K is normal in G, then $H \le G = N_G(K)$, so again by (3), HK is a subgroup of G.

Products of Subgroups, XI

- 5. If both H and K are normal in G, and $H \cap K = \{e\}$, then HK is isomorphic to the direct product $H \times K$.
 - Since *H* is a normal subgroup of *G*, by (4) that means *HK* is a subgroup of *G*.
 - We first show that the elements of *H* commute with the elements of *K*.
 - To see this, observe that if h ∈ H and k ∈ K, then hkh⁻¹k⁻¹ = (hkh⁻¹)k⁻¹ is an element of K, since hkh⁻¹ ∈ K since K is normal in G.
 - But hkh⁻¹k⁻¹ = h(kh⁻¹k⁻¹) is also an element of H, since kh⁻¹k⁻¹ ∈ H since H is normal in G.
 - This means $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in H \cap K$, and so $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = e$, meaning that hk = kh: thus, h and k commute.

- 5. If both H and K are normal in G, and $H \cap K = \{e\}$, then HK is isomorphic to the direct product $H \times K$.
 - Next, we claim that every element of HK can be written uniquely in the form hk with h ∈ H and k ∈ K.
 - To see this suppose hk = h'k' for h, h' ∈ H and k, k' ∈ K. Then (h')⁻¹h = k'k⁻¹. But the left-hand side is an element of H while the right-hand side is an element of K, so by the assumption H ∩ K = {e}, this common element must be the identity e.
 - Thus (h')⁻¹h = e = k'k⁻¹ and so h' = h and k' = k, meaning h and k are unique.

- 5. If both H and K are normal in G, and $H \cap K = \{e\}$, then HK is isomorphic to the direct product $H \times K$.
 - Therefore, we have a well-defined map φ : HK → H × K mapping hk to the ordered pair (h, k).
 - It is a group homomorphism because if g = hk and g' = h'k' then $\varphi(gg') = \varphi(hkh'k') = \varphi(hh'kk') = (hh', kk') = \varphi(hk)\varphi(h'k') = \varphi(g)\varphi(g')$, where we used the fact that h' and k commute.
 - Finally, φ is trivially injective (since (h, k) = (e, e) implies hk = e) and surjective (by definition of HK) and so it is an isomorphism.

A brief interjection about some terminology in this last situation.

- If both H and K are normal in G, and H ∩ K = {e}, then HK is isomorphic to the direct product H × K.
- Under these hypotheses, we call the subgroup *HK* the <u>internal direct product</u> of *H* and *K*, and call the group *H* × *K* the <u>external direct product</u> of *H* and *K*.
- The difference is irrelevant as a practical matter, but the distinction is that the internal direct product is defined inside a group that already contains *H* and *K* as subgroups, whereas the external direct product is an explicit construction of a new group using the Cartesian product.

Products of Subgroups, XV

Proofs:

- 6. If $n_p = 1$ for every prime p dividing #G, then G is the (internal) direct product of its Sylow subgroups.
 - The intersection of two Sylow subgroups with different primes is trivial by Lagrange's theorem, since the order of their intersection divides the order of each group.
 - Therefore, since they are all normal since n_p = 1 for every prime p dividing #G, by applying (5) repeatedly we see that the product of any number of the Sylow subgroups is isomorphic to their direct product.
 - In particular, since the product of all the Sylow subgroups has the same order as *G*, it is equal to *G*, and so *G* is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

A group satisfying the condition (6) is called a <u>nilpotent</u> group.

One common technique for analyzing the structure of finite groups is to start with the various Sylow subgroups, and then take various products or normalizers to construct larger subgroups in terms of these.

- In particular, if we can show that all of the Sylow numbers are equal to 1, then the group is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.
- This reduces us to the situation of having to identify all the possibilities for the Sylow subgroups.

<u>Example</u>: Show that every group of order 7007 is abelian, and classify them up to isomorphism.

<u>Example</u>: Show that every group of order 7007 is abelian, and classify them up to isomorphism.

- We start by finding the possible Sylow numbers.
- For a group of order $7007 = 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$, the number n_7 is congruent to 1 modulo 7 and divides $11 \cdot 13$. The only such number is 1, so $n_7 = 1$.
- Likewise, $n_{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ and divides $7^2 \cdot 13$, but the only such divisor is 1. Similarly, the only possible value for n_{13} is 1.

<u>Example</u>: Show that every group of order 7007 is abelian, and classify them up to isomorphism.

- All of the Sylow subgroups of G are normal, so G is nilpotent and is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.
- All of these Sylow subgroups are abelian since their orders are either a prime or a square of a prime, so *G* is abelian.
- By our classification of abelian groups, we see there are two isomorphism types for G: either G ≅ (ℤ/49ℤ) × (ℤ/11ℤ) × (ℤ/13ℤ) ≅ ℤ/7007ℤ or G ≅ (ℤ/7ℤ) × (ℤ/7ℤ) × (ℤ/11ℤ) × (ℤ/13ℤ) ≅ (ℤ/7ℤ) × (ℤ/1001ℤ).

For certain classes of group orders with a small number of prime divisors, we can essentially classify groups of that order using Sylow's theorems.

- We can illustrate some of the ideas by classifying the groups of order *pq*, where *p* and *q* are distinct primes.
- This will turn out to be more involved than it might seem in one case.

<u>Example</u>: If p and q are primes with p < q such that p does not divide q - 1, show that any group of order n = pq is abelian and cyclic.

<u>Example</u>: If p and q are primes with p < q such that p does not divide q - 1, show that any group of order n = pq is abelian and cyclic.

- By Sylow's theorems, the number n_p divides q and is congruent to 1 modulo p. Since p does not divide q - 1, the only possibility is n_p = 1.
- Likewise, n_q divides p and is congruent to 1 modulo q, so since p < q we must have n_q = 1.
- Therefore, both the Sylow *p*-subgroup and the Sylow *q*-subgroup are normal in *G*, and so *G* is isomorphic to their direct product.
- Since both groups are cyclic, we see
 G ≅ (ℤ/pℤ) × (ℤ/qℤ) ≅ ℤ/pqℤ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Thus, G is cyclic as claimed.

Of course, we have conspicuously omitted the case $q \equiv 1 \mod p$.

- Indeed, at least in some cases we can see that the group is not necessarily abelian: for example, if p = 2, then we have the dihedral group $D_{2\cdot q}$ of order 2q, and it is not abelian.
- Thus, in this situation, it would seem that more is going on.
- Indeed, in addition to the case n_p = n_q = 1 (in which case G is cyclic by the above argument) there is also another possibility, namely, n_p = q.
- In this case, there are q total Sylow p-subgroups, each of which has p − 1 elements of order p for a total of q(p − 1) = pq − q elements.
- Together with the *q* elements in the Sylow *q*-subgroup, this accounts for all of the elements in the group.

Groups of Order pq, III

We have not yet shown that there actually exists such a group.

- Undeterred, in this hypothetical group, let $P = \langle g \rangle$ be a Sylow *p*-subgroup, and $Q = \langle h \rangle$ be the Sylow *q*-subgroup.
- Then PQ = G in this case by order considerations, even though G is not isomorphic to the direct product P × Q.
- Observe that g acts on the set of elements of Q by conjugation, since Q is normal in G.
- Thus, $ghg^{-1} = h^d$ for some positive integer d.
- Moreover, since g has order p, we see h = g^phg^{-p} = h^{d^p}, and so d^p ≡ 1 (mod q).
- This means d must be an element of order p in (Z/qZ)[×], since d cannot equal 1 by the assumption that g and h do not commute. Note that such an element exists in (Z/qZ)[×], since (Z/qZ)[×] is cyclic (as we proved) and p divides its order q − 1.

Our calculations on the last slide suggest that we could take a presentation of this group as $\langle g, h | g^p = h^q = e, ghg^{-1} = h^d \rangle$ where *d* is an element of order *p* in $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

- It may seem that we would obtain several different groups, one for each of the p − 1 elements of order p in (Z/qZ)[×].
- But in fact, they are all isomorphic to one another, as can be seen by changing variables from g to g^a for an appropriate value of a ∈ (Z/pZ)[×].

We still need to show that $\langle g, h | g^p = h^q = e, ghg^{-1} = h^d \rangle$ actually does describe a group of order pq.

- Observe that by using the given relations, each element of the group is of the form $g^a h^b$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, so the order of the group is at most pq.
- To show equality, we can give a construction of such a group, motivated by the left-multiplication action of G on the elements of Q.
- This action is transitive and faithful, so if we label the elements {e, h, h², ..., h^{q-1}} of Q as {1, 2, ..., q}, then the permutation associated to h is (123...q), while the permutation associated to g is the product of (q 1)/p p-cycles that conjugates h to h^d.

- 1. Suppose p = 2 and q = 5.
 - We take a *q*-cycle h = (12345).
 - Notice that -1 has order p = 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
 - So we require $ghg^{-1} = h^{-1} = (15432)$.
 - Thus, we can take g = (25)(34).

2. Suppose p = 3 and q = 7.

- 1. Suppose p = 2 and q = 5.
 - We take a *q*-cycle h = (12345).
 - Notice that -1 has order p = 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
 - So we require $ghg^{-1} = h^{-1} = (15432)$.
 - Thus, we can take g = (25)(34).
- 2. Suppose p = 3 and q = 7.
 - We take a *q*-cycle h = (1234567).
 - Notice that 2 has order p = 3 in $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
 - So we require $ghg^{-1} = h^2 = (1357246)$.
 - Thus, we can take g = (235)(476).

3. Suppose p = 5 and q = 11.

3. Suppose p = 5 and q = 11.

- We take a q-cycle h = (1234567891011).
- Notice that 3 has order p = 5 in $(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.
- So we require $ghg^{-1} = h^3 = (1471025811369)$.
- Thus, we can take g = (241065)(378119).

We can also give a construction using matrix groups.

- Specifically, take $H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ with } x^p = 1 \right\}$, the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ whose diagonal entries are $\{x, 1\}$ where $x^p = 1$.
- Since 𝔽[×]_q is cyclic of order q − 1 as we showed, and p divides q − 1, the kernel of the pth power map has order p, so there are p possible values of x.
- Since there are q possible values of y, we see #H = pq.
- Now we just have to show it has the desired presentation.

We have
$$H = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \, : \, x,y \in \mathbb{F}_q \, \, \text{with} \, \, x^p = 1
ight\}.$$

- By order considerations, H is generated by the elements $\tilde{g} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ of order p, where a is a primitive pth root of unity, and $\tilde{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ of order q.
- It is then a straightforward calculation to see that $\tilde{g}^p = \tilde{h}^q = I_2$ and $\tilde{g}\tilde{h}\tilde{g}^{-1} = \tilde{h}^a$.
- Thus, *H* has the desired presentation $\langle g, h | g^p = h^q = e, ghg^{-1} = h^d \rangle$, and is the unique non-abelian group of order *pq* up to isomorphism.

Using similar arguments we can classify groups of order p^2q for certain values of p and q.

- Specifically, if *p* and *q* are distinct primes, we will show that any group *G* of order *p*²*q* must have a normal Sylow *p*-subgroup or a normal Sylow *q*-subgroup.
- Furthermore, if p does not divide q − 1 and (p, q) ≠ (2,3), we can show that G must be abelian and isomorphic to Z/p²qZ or to (Z/pZ) × (Z/pqZ).

First, we analyze the possible Sylow numbers for G of order p^2q .

- If p > q then $n_p \in \{1, q\}$ but it cannot equal q because $q \not\equiv 1$ (mod p). Thus in this case, $n_p = 1$.
- Otherwise, suppose p < q. Then n_p ∈ {1, q} and n_q ∈ {1, p²} since n_q ≠ p because p < q and so p cannot be congruent to 1 modulo q.
- If $n_q = p^2$ then there would be $p^2(q-1)$ elements of order q in these Sylow q-subgroups, leaving only $n p^2(q-1) = p^2$ elements left for the Sylow p-subgroup, so n_p would be 1.
- Therefore, G also must have a normal Sylow subgroup in this case.

Groups of Order p^2q , III

In fact, we can say more.

- Indeed, when p < q, if p does not divide q − 1 then we cannot have n_p = q, so n_p = 1.
- Furthermore, if we had $n_q = p^2$, then p < q and q divides $p^2 1$.
- But since q is prime, either q divides p 1 (impossible since p < q) or q divides p + 1.
- But because p < q, the only possibility is that q = p + 1.
- Since the only even prime is 2, this forces p = 2 and q = 3, which we specifically excluded.
- Therefore, we have $n_p = n_q = 1$.

So, if $(p,q) \neq (2,3)$ and q is not 1 mod p, we have $n_p = n_q = 1$.

- Then, *G* is nilpotent hence isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow *p*-subgroup and its Sylow *q*-subgroup.
- Since both of these Sylow subgroups are abelian since their orders are either a prime or a square of a prime, we see that *G* is abelian.
- Then by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups, *G* is a direct product of cyclic groups, and based on its prime factorization we get the two possibilities $\mathbb{Z}/p^2q\mathbb{Z}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z})$ given above.

It remains to analyze the situations of groups of order 12, and the situation where only one of the Sylow subgroups is normal.

- Much like the situation with groups of order *pq*, we will be able to construct non-abelian groups in these cases.
- We would like to do this more systematically than the fairly ad hoc approach we took with groups of order *pq*.
- We will therefore finish off this chapter by discussing semidirect products, which will allow us to write down more general constructions for groups in exactly these situations.

Suppose we have subgroups H and K of a group G, such such that G = HK and $H \cap K = \{e\}$, but now we only assume H is normal, not necessarily K.

- As a prototypical example, think of $H = \langle r \rangle$ and $K = \langle s \rangle$ inside $D_{2 \cdot n}$.
- Then since G = HK and H ∩ K = {e}, every element of G must be uniquely written in the form hk for h ∈ H and k ∈ K, since the number of such products is #H · #K = #G.
- It is no longer true, however, that elements of H will commute with elements of K, so in order to describe the multiplication in this group, we need to be able to convert a product (h₁k₁) · (h₂k₂) into a product of an element of H with an element of K.

Since HK = G is a subgroup of G, we know that HK = KH.

- So, the element $k_1h_2 \in KH$ must be of the form $h_3k_3 \in HK$. Then we can write $(h_1k_1) \cdot (h_2k_2) = h_1(k_1h_2)k_2 = h_1(h_3k_3)k_2 = (h_1h_3)(k_3k_2) \in HK$.
- It is not so clear what precisely we can do to simplify this procedure.
- For motivation, consider $D_{2 \cdot n}$: whenever we want to simplify a product like $(sr^2)(sr^5)$, we use the relation $rs = sr^{-1}$.
- Now notice that we can rewrite that relation as $srs^{-1} = r^{-1}$.
- The point here is that H = (r) is normal, so the elements of K will act on it by conjugation. So in fact we will always get a relation of this kind when H is normal.

For each $k \in K$, it is true that $kHk^{-1} = H$, since H is normal.

- Thus, for each $k \in K$, we have an associated isomorphism $\varphi_k : H \to H$ with $\varphi_k(h) = khk^{-1}$.
- We can use this to evaluate the product $(h_1k_1) \cdot (h_2k_2)$.
- Specifically, we have $k_1h_2 = \varphi_{k_1}(h_2)k_1$, and therefore $(h_1k_1) \cdot (h_2k_2) = h_1[k_1h_2]k_2 = h_1[\varphi_{k_1}(h_2)k_1]k_2$ $= [h_1\varphi_{k_1}(h_2)] \cdot [k_1k_2].$
- What we see is that if we work with ordered pairs

 (h, k) ∈ H × K, then the composition operation we have is
 (h₁, k₁) ★ (h₂, k₂) = (h₁φ_{k₁}(h₂), k₁k₂): it behaves as normal
 multiplication in the K-component, but it is "twisted" by the
 isomorphism φ_{k₁} in the H-component.

Let's work out exactly what this looks like in $G = D_{2\cdot 5}$, with $H = \langle r \rangle = \{e, r, r^2, r^3, r^4\}$ and $K = \langle s \rangle = \{e, s\}$.

- For each element of K, we get an isomorphism $\varphi_k : H \to H$ acting via $\varphi_k(h) = khk^{-1}$.
- So, the isomorphism φ_e has φ_e(h) = ehe⁻¹ = h, so it is just the identity.
- The isomorphism φ_s has φ_s(h) = shs⁻¹ = h⁻¹ss⁻¹ = h⁻¹ for each h ∈ H, and so φ_s is the map taking each element of H to its inverse.
- Using the ordered pair notation, for example, we get
 (r,s) ★ (r², e) = (rφ_s(r²), se) = (r ⋅ r⁻², se) = (r⁴, s), which,
 in regular notation inside G, reads as the statement
 (rs)(r²) = r⁴s, which is indeed true.

As we have noted previously, the isomorphisms of H with itself are called <u>automorphisms</u>.

- Conveniently, someone put a problem on Homework 8 that was all about group automorphisms, so (presumably) you're now at least moderately comfortable with them.
- As you showed, the automorphisms of H form a group under function composition, denoted Aut(H).
- So: for each element of K we have an automorphism φ_k of H.
- Furthermore, we have $\varphi_{kk'} = \varphi_k \circ \varphi_{k'}$ for any $k, k' \in K$, since $\varphi_{kk'}(h) = (kk')h(kk')^{-1} = \varphi_k(\varphi_{k'}(h))$ for all $h \in H$.
- This means that the association of k to the map φ_k is actually a group homomorphism of K into Aut(H).

The idea now is that we can reverse this process.

- Explicitly, suppose that H and K are any groups and we have a homomorphism σ of K into Aut(H), so that for each k ∈ K we obtain an automorphism σ_k of H.
- We can then use the calculations we just made on the last slides to *define* a group operation \star on ordered pairs (h, k) by taking $(h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} (h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2), k_1 k_2)$.
- Of course, we do have to check that this is actually a group, but it is.
- The resulting group is called the semidirect product of *H* and *K*.

Theorem (Semidirect Products)

Let H and K be any groups, let $\sigma : K \to Aut(H)$ be a group homomorphism with σ_k being the automorphism $\sigma(k)$ on H, and let G be the set of ordered pairs (h, k) for $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. Then G is a group with order $\#H \cdot \#K$ under the operation

$$(h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} (h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2), k_1 k_2).$$

Furthermore, the subset $\{(h, e) : h \in H\}$ is isomorphic to H and is a normal subgroup of G, while the subset $\{(e, k) : k \in K\}$ is isomorphic to K.

This group is called the <u>semidirect product</u> of H and K with respect to σ , and is denoted H \rtimes_{σ} K.

- Each of the assertions is a direct calculation.
- For [G1], first note that $\sigma_{k_1k_2}(h_3) = \sigma_{k_1}(\sigma_{k_2}(h_3))$.
- Then we have $[(h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} (h_2, k_2)] \star_{\sigma} (h_3, k_3) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2), k_1 k_2) \star_{\sigma} (h_3, k_3) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2) \sigma_{k_1 k_2}(h_3), k_1 k_2 k_3) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2) \sigma_{k_1}(\sigma_{k_2}(h_3)), k_1 k_2 k_3) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2 \sigma_{k_2}(h_3)), k_1 k_2 k_3) = (h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} (h_2 \sigma_{k_2}(h_3), k_2 k_3) = (h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} [(h_2, k_2) \star_{\sigma} (h_3, k_3)].$

<u>Proof</u> (continued):

- For [G2], we observe that (e, e) is the identity of G, since $(e, e) \star_{\sigma} (h, k) = (e\sigma_e(h), ek) = (h, k)$ and likewise $(h, k) \star_{\sigma} (e, e) = (h, k)$.
- For [G3], the inverse of (h, k) is $(\sigma_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}), k^{-1})$, since $(h, k) \star_{\sigma} (\sigma_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}), k^{-1}) = (h\sigma_k(\sigma_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1})), kk^{-1}) = (e, e)$ and likewise $(\sigma_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}), k^{-1}) \star_{\sigma} (h, k) = (e, e)$.
- Also, {(h, e) : h ∈ H} is a normal subgroup isomorphic to H, since (h₁, e) ★ (h₂, e) = (h₁h₂, e) and (h₁, k) ★ (h₂, e) ★ (h₁, k)⁻¹ = (h₁h₂h₁⁻¹, e).
- Likewise, {(e, k) : k ∈ K} is a subgroup isomorphic to K, since (e, k₁) ★ (e, k₂) = (e, k₁k₂).

The idea here is that semidirect products are somewhat like direct products (whose underlying set is also ordered pairs of elements of H and K) but have a different group operation.

- In fact, if σ is the identity map, then the semidirect product with respect to σ is simply the direct product, since the group operation is $(h_1, k_1) \star_{\sigma} (h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \sigma_{k_1}(h_2), k_1 k_2)$.
- Furthermore, we can view H and K as being embedded inside of the semidirect product H ⋊_σ K as the subgroups {(h, e) : h ∈ H} and {(e, k) : k ∈ K} respectively.
- When we make this identification, we see that H ∩ K = {e}, G = HK, and H is a normal subgroup of G: this is precisely the setup we started with.

The point of all of this discussion was to identify when we can decompose a group G as a semidirect product.

- Specifically, if we can decompose G as a product HK for two subgroups H and K with H normal in G and H ∩ K = {e}, this means G must be (isomorphic to) a semidirect product H ⋊_σ K for some σ : K → Aut(H).
- As with direct products, in principle we should draw a distinction between an internal semidirect product (in which we already have a group G with those subgroups H and K as above) and an external semidirect product (in which we are taking two abstract groups H and K with some $\sigma \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ and constructing this new group $H \rtimes_{\sigma} K$).
- In practice, we don't really care, since we are thinking of the semidirect product as an abstract construction most of the time anyway.

Semidirect Products, VI

A few miscellaneous notational remarks:

- The notation H ⋊_σ K is intended to evoke the direct product but also to point out the asymmetry between H (which is normal) and K (which need not be).
- The side of the symbol × with the vertical bar identifies the subgroup that is not normal.
- Contrarians sometimes use A κ_σ B, which is a semidirect product in which B is normal, and σ : A → Aut(B).
- One may always switch the order in this way because if AB = G then BA = G as well (since BA is a subgroup, it equals AB), though the resulting construction differs slightly.
- When the map σ is clear from context, it is often omitted. Usually, when we write $H \rtimes K$ with no σ , we are specifically avoiding the case where we end up with the direct product.

Semidirect Products, VII

Examples:

- 1. Let $H = \langle a \rangle$ be cyclic of order 5 and $K = \langle b \rangle$ be cyclic of order 4.
 - Let $\sigma: K \to Aut(H)$ be the homomorphism such that $\sigma_b(a) = a^2$.
 - Note that there is such a homomorphism, because the squaring map has order 4 inside Aut(H) ≅ (ℤ/5ℤ)[×], which is cyclic of order 4 and generated by the element 2.
 - The resulting semidirect product H ⋊_σ K is a group of order 20 generated by a and b.
 - The elements a, b satisfy the relations a⁵ = e and b⁴ = e inherited from H and K, and they also satisfy bab⁻¹ = a² from the action of the automorphism.
 - We get a presentation $\langle a, b \, | \, a^5 = b^4 = e, \, bab^{-1} = a^2
 angle.$

- Let H = (a) be cyclic of order 5 and K = (b) be cyclic of order 4.
 - We can construct a different semidirect product if instead we use the homomorphism τ : K → Aut(H) such that σ_b(a) = a⁴. This is well-defined because this automorphism has order 2 inside Aut(H).
 - Then H ⋊_σ K is a group of order 20 generated by a and b, but now a, b satisfy the relations bab⁻¹ = a⁴ = a⁻¹, so this group has a presentation (a, b | a⁵ = b⁴ = e, bab⁻¹ = a⁻¹).

Semidirect Products, VIII

In these two examples, we have constructed two groups of order 20.

• The two semidirect products we constructed were

$$G_1 = \langle a, b | a^5 = b^4 = e, \ bab^{-1} = a^2 \rangle \text{ and} \\ G_2 = \langle a, b | a^5 = b^4 = e, \ bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

- In fact, these are different from any of the other groups of order 20 we have encountered so far.
- Neither of them is abelian, like Z/20Z and (Z/2Z) × (Z/10Z), and neither is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2.10} since the dihedral group has no elements of order 4.
- G_1 and G_2 are also not isomorphic to each other, although this is a bit harder to see directly: one way is to note that the second group has an element of order 5 and order 2 that commute (namely, *a* and b^2) while the first doesn't.
- It is easier to note that the images im(σ) for σ : K → Aut(H) differ for these two groups (G₁ has order 4, G₂ has order 2).

Semidirect Products, IX

Examples:

- 3. Let H be any abelian group and $K = \langle b \rangle$ be cyclic of order 2.
 - Also let σ : K → Aut(H) be the map sending the nonidentity element b ∈ K to the inversion automorphism with σ_b(a) = a⁻¹ for any a ∈ H.
 - We obtain a semidirect product $H \rtimes_{\sigma} K$ of order $2 \cdot \# H$.
 - If H = ⟨a⟩ is cyclic of order n, then the resulting semidirect product is a group of order 2n generated by a and b, and a, b satisfy the relations bab⁻¹ = a⁻¹.
 - In that case, the semidirect product is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2·n}, with a playing the role of r and b playing the role of s.
 - However, for other *H*, we get new groups. For example, if *H* is isomorphic to Z, we get a group with presentation (*a*, *b* | *b*² = *e*, *bab*⁻¹ = *a*⁻¹).

Semidirect Products, IX

Examples:

- Let H = ⟨a⟩ be cyclic of order q and K = ⟨b⟩ be cyclic of order p, for primes p and q.
 - Then to construct a semidirect product $H \rtimes_{\sigma} K$, we need a map $\sigma : K \to Aut(H)$.
 - Note that $\operatorname{Aut}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is cyclic of order q-1.
 - So if p does not divide q-1, the only such map σ is the identity map, yielding the direct product.
 - If p does divide q 1, then there is a nontrivial map σ, since Aut(H) will contain an element d of order p.
 - If we take σ_b(a) = a^d, then the semidirect product has presentation ⟨a, b | a^q = b^p = e, bab⁻¹ = a^d⟩, which is the non-abelian group of order pq we found earlier.

In fact, we could have used semidirect products to classify the groups of order pq quite a bit more simply¹.

- Explicitly, if p < q then we know the Sylow q-subgroup H is normal. Then if K is any Sylow p-subgroup, we have H ∩ K = {e} by Lagrange's theorem, and so HK = G.
- This tells us that G is a semidirect product $H \rtimes_{\sigma} K$ for some $\sigma : K \to Aut(H)$.
- The analysis we just gave then shows G is actually a direct product unless p divides q 1, in which case there are some nontrivial possible σ , which yield non-abelian groups.
- Using a result we will mention next time, all of those groups turn out to be isomorphic.

¹In fact, we really did just write down the semidirect product structure, just without identifying it as such.



We discussed products of subgroups and established some of their basic properties.

We classified groups of some orders.

We introduced semidirect products.

Next lecture: More semidirect products, field automorphisms.