Kakeya Sets Over non-Archimedean Local Rings

### Kakeya Sets Over non-Archimedean Local Rings

#### Evan P. Dummit

University of Wisconsin-Madison

October 13, 2012

# Outline

Outline:

- Classical Kakeya problem
- Pinite-field Kakeya problem
- Sakeya problem over local rings
- Open questions

This is joint work with M. Hablicek (UW-Madison).

### Classical Kakeya Problem

#### Definition

A **Kakeya set** (or Besicovitch set) is a set of points in Euclidean space which contains a unit line segment in every direction.

### Classical Kakeya Problem

#### Definition

A **Kakeya set** (or Besicovitch set) is a set of points in Euclidean space which contains a unit line segment in every direction.

#### Theorem (A. Besicovitch; 1919)

For  $n \ge 2$ , there exists a Kakeya set of measure 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# The Kakeya conjecture

### Definition

The Minkowski dimension of a set K is defined to be

$$\dim(K) = \lim_{\epsilon o 0} rac{\log N(\epsilon)}{\log(1/\epsilon)}$$

where  $N(\epsilon)$  is the number of squares of size  $\epsilon$  needed to cover K.

# The Kakeya conjecture

#### Definition

The Minkowski dimension of a set K is defined to be

$$\dim(K) = \lim_{\epsilon o 0} rac{\log N(\epsilon)}{\log(1/\epsilon)}$$

where  $N(\epsilon)$  is the number of squares of size  $\epsilon$  needed to cover K.

#### Conjecture (Kakeya conjecture)

Any Kakeya set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of Minkowski dimension n.

The conjecture is known to hold when n = 2 but only lower bounds are known for n > 2.

# Kakeya sets over finite fields

Definitions:

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field, and *n* a fixed positive integer.
- Space of interest:  $S = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ .
- Lines in S are of the form  $\{x + sy : s \in \mathbb{F}_q, x, y \in S\}$ .
- A direction in S is an equivalence class of y giving the same line.
- A Kakeya set is a set containing a line in every direction.

# Kakeya sets over finite fields

Definitions:

- Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field, and *n* a fixed positive integer.
- Space of interest:  $S = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ .
- Lines in S are of the form  $\{x + sy : s \in \mathbb{F}_q, x, y \in S\}$ .
- A direction in S is an equivalence class of y giving the same line.
- A Kakeya set is a set containing a line in every direction.

#### Theorem (Dvir; 2008)

Any Kakeya set in 
$$\mathbb{F}_q^n$$
 contains at least  $\frac{q^n}{n!}$  points.

In other words, a Kakeya set over a finite field always has positive measure. (This proves the Kakeya conjecture over  $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ .)

## Between $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$

Over  $\mathbb{R}$  there exist Kakeya sets of measure zero, but over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , there exists a hard lower bound on measure (independent of q). What's in between?

Question (J. Ellenberg, R. Oberlin, T. Tao; 2009)

Are there Besicovitch phenomena in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^n$  or in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ ?

In other words, do there exist Kakeya sets of measure 0 in these spaces?

## Between $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$

Over  $\mathbb{R}$  there exist Kakeya sets of measure zero, but over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , there exists a hard lower bound on measure (independent of q). What's in between?

Question (J. Ellenberg, R. Oberlin, T. Tao; 2009)

Are there Besicovitch phenomena in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^n$  or in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ ?

In other words, do there exist Kakeya sets of measure 0 in these spaces?

Theorem (E.D., Hablicek; 2011)

There exists a Kakeya set of measure 0 in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^n$  for each  $n \ge 2$ .

Proof: Explicit construction.

# Preliminaries

Definitions:

- Space of interest:  $S = \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^n$ .
- Lines in S are of the form  $\{x + sy : s \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]], x, y \in S\}$ .
- A direction in S is an equivalence class of y, for which at least one coordinate is a unit.
- A Kakeya set is a set containing a line in every direction.
- The Haar measure  $\mu$  on S is generated by the projections  $\pi_k : \mathbb{F}_q[[t]] \to \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]/(t^k)$ , where the measure on  $(\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]/(t^k))^n$  is the probability measure.

# Construction, I

Observations:

- Only need to construct a Kakeya set K of measure zero in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^2$ , then just take  $K \times \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^{n-2}$  in general.
- In F<sub>q</sub>[[t]]<sup>2</sup>, only need to construct a set H containing all lines with direction vectors (1, b); then

$$K = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \text{ or } (y, x) \in H\}$$
 is Kakeya.

# Construction, I

Observations:

- Only need to construct a Kakeya set K of measure zero in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^2$ , then just take  $K \times \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^{n-2}$  in general.
- In 𝔽<sub>q</sub>[[t]]<sup>2</sup>, only need to construct a set H containing all lines with direction vectors (1, b); then
  K = {(x, y) : (x, y) or (y, x) ∈ H} is Kakeya.
- For any odd map  $* : \mathbb{F}_q[[t]] \to \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$ , the set  $H_* = \{(x, y) : ax + y = a^* \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]\}$  will contain a line with direction vectors (1, b).
- Reason: For any  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$ , the points  $(x, y) = (0, -b^*) + s(1, b)$  are in  $H_*$ .

## Construction, II

We need to find a choice of  $a^*$  that makes  $H_*$  have measure zero.

## Construction, II

We need to find a choice of  $a^*$  that makes  $H_*$  have measure zero. Solution:

- For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$ , let  $a_i$  denote the coefficient of  $t^i$ .
- For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$ , define  $a^* \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$  by setting

$$a_i^* = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } i = 2^k - 2 ext{ for some natural number } k, \ a_{i+1} & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### Proposition

With notation as previous, the set

$$\mathcal{H}:=\{(x,y)\in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^2: \ ax+y=a^* \ for \ some \ a\in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]\}$$

contains a line with direction vector (1, b) for each  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$ , and has measure 0.

### Construction, III: Sketch of Proof

The point (x, y) is in H if and only if there exists an  $a = a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots$  satisfying the system

$$a_0 x_0 + y_0 = 0, [0]$$

$$a_1x_0 + a_0x_1 + y_1 = a_2,$$
 [1]

$$a_2 x_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_0 x_2 + y_2 = 0, [2]$$

$$a_n x_0 + a_{n-1} x_1 + \dots + a_0 x_n + y_n = a_n^*,$$
 [n]

## Construction, III: Sketch of Proof

The point (x, y) is in H if and only if there exists an  $a = a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots$  satisfying the system

:

$$a_0 x_0 + y_0 = 0, [0]$$

$$a_1x_0 + a_0x_1 + y_1 = a_2,$$
 [1]

$$a_2 x_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_0 x_2 + y_2 = 0, [2]$$

$$a_n x_0 + a_{n-1} x_1 + \dots + a_0 x_n + y_n = a_n^*,$$
 [n]

Now count solutions to equations [0]-[n] as *n* grows, and show that the measure of the set of points (x, y) such that there exists an *a* satisfying [0]-[n] tends to 0 as *n* grows.

Minkowski Dimension, I

We can also pose the Kakeya conjecture over the local ring setting.

## Minkowski Dimension, I

We can also pose the Kakeya conjecture over the local ring setting.

#### Definition

The Minkowski dimension of a subset E of  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^n$  is

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log |E_k|}{\log q^k}$$

where  $E_k$  is the image of E under  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]] \to \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]/(t^k)$ .

(We have an analogous definition for subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ .)

### Conjecture (Kakeya Conjecture)

For  $n \ge 2$ , the Minkowski dimension of a Kakeya set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$  is n.

## Minkowski Dimension, II

#### Theorem (E.D., M. Hablicek; 2011)

The Minkowski dimension of a Kakeya set in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is 2.

In dimensions  $n \ge 3$  over these rings, the Kakeya conjecture remains open.

# Minkowski Dimension, II

### Theorem (E.D., M. Hablicek; 2011)

The Minkowski dimension of a Kakeya set in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[t]]^2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is 2.

In dimensions  $n \ge 3$  over these rings, the Kakeya conjecture remains open. Key result in proof:

### Proposition

Let E be a Kakeya set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^k$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $|E| \ge \frac{|R|^2}{2k}$ .

This proposition is a sharpening of a counting result of Ellenberg-Oberlin-Tao.



#### Question

Does a Kakeya set of measure 0 exist in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ ? (Or other rings?)

# **Open Questions**

#### Question

Does a Kakeya set of measure 0 exist in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ ? (Or other rings?)

Kakeya sets over  $\mathbb R$  are the central ingredient in a theorem on  $L^p$  spaces in classical analysis:

### Theorem (C. Fefferman; 1971)

The truncated Fourier operator T on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , defined by  $\hat{T}f(x) = \chi_p(x)\hat{f}(x)$ , where  $\chi_p$  is the characteristic function of the unit ball, is bounded only on  $L^2$ .

If a Kakeya set of measure zero exists over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it may be possible to obtain a similar theorem over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Kakeya Sets Over non-Archimedean Local Rings

### End of Talk

Thank you!